P-04-228

Forsmark site investigation

Borehole KFM02A

Triaxial compression test of intact rock

Lars Jacobsson SP Swedish National Testing and Research Institute

June 2004

Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB

Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co Box 5864 SE-102 40 Stockholm Sweden Tel 08-459 84 00 +46 8 459 84 00 Fax 08-661 57 19 +46 8 661 57 19

ISSN 1651-4416 SKB P-04-228

Forsmark site investigation

Borehole KFM02A

Triaxial compression test of intact rock

Lars Jacobsson SP Swedish National Testing and Research Institute

June 2004

Keywords: AP PF 400-04-19, Field note no Forsmark 142, Rock mechanics, Triaxial compression test, Elasticity parameters, Stress-strain curve, Post-failure behaviour.

This report concerns a study which was conducted for SKB. The conclusions and viewpoints presented in the report are those of the author and do not necessarily coincide with those of the client.

A pdf version of this document can be downloaded from www.skb.se

Abstract

Triaxial compression tests with constant confining pressure, containing the complete loading response beyond compressive failure, so called post-failure tests, were carried out on 12 water saturated specimens of intact rock from borehole KFM02A in Forsmark. The cylindrical specimens were collected from drill cores at three depth levels ranging between 330–335 m, 531–532 m and 704–710 m. Moreover, the rock type was medium-grained metagranite (-granodiorite). The wet density of the specimens was determined before the mechanical tests, from which the elastic properties, represented by Young's modulus and the Poisson ratio, and the compressive strength were deduced. The specimens were photographed before and after the mechanical testing.

The measured densities for the water saturated specimens were in the range 2,640–2,660 kg/m³, which yields a mean value of 2,653 kg/m³. Three confining pressure levels were used, 2, 7 and 12 MPa, and the peak values of the axial compressive stress were in the range 221.7– 391.2 MPa. The elastic parameters were determined at a load corresponding to 50% of the failure load and it was found that Young's modulus was in the range 71.4–77.2 GPa with a mean value of 73.6 GPa, whereas the Poisson ratio was in the range of 0.15–0.20 with a mean value of 0.18. It was seen from the mechanical tests that the material in the specimens responded in a brittle way.

Contents

1 Introduction

Triaxial compression tests, with loading beyond the failure point into the post-failure regime, have been conducted on water-saturated specimens sampled from borehole KFM02A in Forsmark, see map in Figure 1-1. These tests belong to one of the activities performed as part of the site investigation in the Forsmark area managed by the Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB). The tests were carried out in the material and rock mechanics laboratories at the department of Building Technology and Mechanics at the Swedish National Testing and Research Institute (SP). All work was carried out in accordance with the activity plan AP PF 400-04-19 (SKB internal controlling document) and was controlled by SP-QD 13.1 (SP internal quality document).

SKB supplied SP with rock cores and they arrived at SP in June 2003 and were tested during May 2004. Cylindrical specimens were cut from the cores and selected based on the preliminary core logging with the strategy to primarily investigate the properties of the dominant rock type. The method description SKB MD 190.003, version 1.9 (SKB internal controlling document), was followed both for the sampling and for the triaxial compression tests, and the method description SKB MD 160.002, version 1.9 (SKB internal controlling document), was followed when the density was determined. As to the specimen preparation,

Figure 1-1. Location of borehole KFM02A at the Forsmark site.

the end surfaces on the specimens were grinded in order to comply with the required shape tolerances and the specimens were then stored in water for a minimum of 7 days, up to testing. This yields a water saturation, which is intended to resemble the in-situ moisture condition. The density was determined on each specimen and the triaxial compression tests were carried out at this moisture condition at different confining pressures. The specimens were photographed before and after the mechanical testing.

The triaxial compression tests were carried out using radial strain as the feed back signal in order to obtain the complete response in the post-failure regime on brittle specimens as is described in the method description SKB MD 190.003, version 1.9, and in the ISRM suggested method /1/. The axial ε_a and radial strain ε_r together with the axial stress σ_a were recorded during the test. Furthermore, two elasticity parameters, Young's modulus *E* and the Poisson ratio *ν*, were deduced from the tangent properties at 50% of the peak load. Diagrams with the volumetric and crack volumetric strain versus axial stress are reported. These diagrams can be used to determine crack initiation stress σ_i and the crack damage stress σ_{d} , cf /2, 3/.

2 Objective and scope

The purpose of the testing is to determine the compressive strength and the elastic properties, represented by Young's modulus and the Poisson ratio, of confined cylindrical intact rock cores at different confining pressures. Moreover, the specimens have a water content corresponding to the in-situ conditions. The loading is carried out into the postfailure regime in order to study the mechanical behaviour of the rock after cracking, thereby enabling determination of the brittleness and residual strength. The specimens originate from borehole KFM02A, which is a near-vertical telescopic borehole of SKB-chemistry type with a drilling length of c 1,000 m.

The results from the tests are intended to be used in the site descriptive rock mechanics model, which will be established for the candidate area selected for site investigations at Forsmark.

3 Equipment

3.1 Specimen preparation and density measurement

A circular saw with a diamond blade was used to cut the specimens to their final lengths. The surfaces were then grinded after cutting in a grinding machine in order to achieve a high-quality surface for the axial loading that complies with the required tolerances. The measurements of the specimen dimensions were made with a sliding calliper. Furthermore, the tolerances were checked by means of a dial indicator and a stone face plate. The specimen preparation is carried out in accordance with ASTM 4543-01 /4/.

The specimens and the water were weighed using a scale weighing machine. A thermometer was used for the water temperature measurement. The calculated wet density was determined with an uncertainty of $\pm 4 \text{ kg/m}^3$.

3.2 Mechanical testing

The mechanical tests were carried out in a servo controlled testing machine specially designed for rock tests, see Figure 3-1. The system consists of a load frame, a hydraulic pump unit, a controller unit and various sensors. The communication with the controller unit is accomplished by means of a special testing software run on a PC connected to the controller. The load frame has a high stiffness and a fast responding actuator, cf the ISRM suggested method /1/. Furthermore, the sensors, the controller and the servo valve are rapidly responding components. The machine is equipped with a pressure vessel in which the specimens are tested under a confinement pressure. A thin rubber membrane is mounted on the specimen in order to seal the specimen from the oil that is used as the confinement medium, cf Figure 3-2. The axial load is determined using a load cell, which is located inside the pressure vessel and has a maximum capacity of 1.5 MN. The uncertainty of the load measurement is less than 1%.

The axial and circumferential (radial) deformation of the rock specimen was measured. The rock deformation measurement systems are based on miniature LVDTs (electronic sensors) with a measurement range of \pm / $-$ 2.5 mm. The LVDTs were calibrated by means of a micrometer and they displayed an accuracy of $+/- 2.5\%$ within a $+/- 2$ mm range that was used in the tests. The axial deformation measurement system comprises two aluminium rings attached to the specimen placed approximately at $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ of the specimen height, cf Figures 3-2 and 4-1. Two LVDTs mounted on the rings are used to measure the distance change between the rings on opposite sides of the specimen. The rings have three adjustable spring-loaded screws each with a rounded tip pointing towards the specimen with 120 degrees division. The rings are mounted directly on the rubber membrane. The pre-load of the screws fixates the rings. The position of the frame piston was also stored during the test in order to give a possibility for comparison with the measurements made with the measurement system based on the displacement of the rings.

The radial deformation was obtained by using a chain mounted around the specimen at mid-height, see Figure 3-2. The change of the chain-opening gap was measured by means of one LVDT and the circumferential and thereby also the radial deformation could be obtained. See Appendix A.

The specimens were photographed with a 4.0 Mega pixel digital camera at highest resolution and the photographs were stored in a jpeg-format.

Figure 3-1. Left: Digital controller unit, pressure cabinet with cell pressure intensifier and oil reservoir inside, load frame with closed cell (pressure vessel). Right: Bottom of the cell is lowered. The specimen is instrumented and ready for inserting in the cell.

Figure 3-2. Left: Rings and LVDTs for axial deformation measurement. Right: Specimen and loading platens sealed with a rubber membrane. Devices for axial and circumferential deformation measurements are attached.

4 Execution

The water saturation and determination of the density of the wet specimens were made in accordance with the method description SKB MD 160.002, version 1.9 (SKB internal controlling document). This includes determination of density in accordance with ISRM /5/ and water saturation by SS EN 13755 /6/. The triaxial compression tests were carried out in compliance with the method description SKB MD 190.003, version 1.9 (SKB internal controlling document). The test method is based on the ISRM suggested methods /1/ and /7/.

4.1 Description of the specimens

The rock type characterisation was made according to Stråhle /8/ using the SKB mapping system (Boremap). The identification marks, upper and lower sampling depth (Secup and Seclow) and the rock type are shown in Table $\overline{4-1}$.

Identification	Secup (m)	Seclow (m)	Confining pressure (MPa)	Rock type
KFM02A-115-1	333.81	333.96	2	Metagranite
KFM02A-115-2	333.96	334.11	7	(All specimens)
KFM02A-115-3	334.26	334.41	7	
KFM02A-115-4	330.33	330.48	12	
KFM02A-115-8	531.01	531.16	2	
KFM02A-115-9	531.16	531.31	7	
KFM02A-115-10	531.31	531.46	7	
KFM02A-115-11	531.46	531.61	12	
KFM02A-115-15	704.09	704.24	2	
KFM02A-115-16	704.24	704.39	7	
KFM02A-115-17	708.70	708.85	7	
KFM02A-115-18	709.12	709.27	12	

Table 4-1. Specimen identification, sampling depth, confining pressure at the triaxial tests and rock type for all specimens.

4.2 Specimen preparation and density measurement

The temperature of the water was 19.0°C, which equals to a water density of 998.4 kg/m³, when the determination of the wet density of the rock specimens was carried out. Further, the specimens had been stored 9 days in water when the density was determined.

A step-by step description of the procedure for the specimen preparation and the density measurement is as follows:

4.3 Mechanical testing

The specimens had been stored 63–66 days in water when the triaxial compression tests were carried out. The functionality of the triaxial testing system was checked, by carrying out tests on other cores with a similar type rock before the tests described in this report started. A check-list was filled in successively during the work in order to confirm that the different specified steps had been carried out. Moreover, comments were made upon observations during the mechanical testing that are relevant for the interpretation of the results. The check-list form is a SP internal quality document.

A step-by step description of the test procedure is as follows:

4.4 Data handling

The test results were exported as text files from the test software and stored in a file server on the SP computer network after each completed test. The main data processing, in which the elastic moduli were computed and the peak stress was determined, has been carried out in the program MATLAB /9/. Moreover, MATLAB was used to produce the diagrams shown in Section 5.1 and in Appendix B. The summary of results in Section 5.2 with tables containing mean value and standard deviation of the different parameters and diagrams were produced using MS Excel. MS Excel was also used for reporting data to the SICADA database.

4.5 Analyses and interpretation

As to the definition of the different result parameters we begin with the axial stress σ_{a} , which is defined as

$$
\sigma_{\rm a} = \frac{F}{A}
$$

where F is the axial force acting on the specimen and A is the specimen cross section area. The specimen is pressurized within the oil-filled pressure vessel (triaxial cell) with a cell (confining) pressure *p*. This implies that the specimen becomes confined and the radial stress *σ*r of the specimen is equal to the confining pressure *p*. The (effective) deviatoric stress is defined as

$$
\sigma_{\rm dev} \equiv \sigma_{\rm a} - \sigma_{\rm r}
$$

The peak value of the axial stress during a test is representing the triaxial compressive strength $\sigma_{\rm c}$, for the actual confining pressure used in the test, in the results presentation.

The average value of the two axial displacement measurements on opposite sides of the specimen is used for the axial strain calculation. The recorded deformation δ_{local} represents a local axial displacement between the points approximately at $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{3}{4}$ of the specimen height, cf Figure 4-1. The axial strain is defined as

$$
\varepsilon_{\rm a} = \delta_{\rm local}/L_{\rm local}
$$

where L_{local} is the distance between the rings before loading.

The radial deformation is measured by means of a chain mounted around the specimen at mid-height, see Figure 3-2. The change of the chain opening gap is measured by means of an LVDT. This measurement is used to compute the radial strain ε_{r} , see Appendix A. Moreover, the volumetric strain ε_{vol} is defined as

$$
\epsilon_{\rm vol}=\epsilon_{\rm a}+2\epsilon_{\rm r}
$$

The stresses and the strains are defined as positive in compressive loading and deformation. The elasticity parameters are defined by the tangent Young's modulus *E* and tangent Poisson ratio *ν* as

$$
E = \frac{\sigma_{\rm a}(0.55\sigma_{\rm c}) - \sigma_{\rm a}(0.45\sigma_{\rm c})}{\varepsilon_{\rm a}(0.55\sigma_{\rm c}) - \varepsilon_{\rm a}(0.45\sigma_{\rm c})}
$$

$$
v = -\frac{\varepsilon_{\rm r}(0.55\sigma_{\rm c}) - \varepsilon_{\rm r}(0.45\sigma_{\rm c})}{\varepsilon_{\rm a}(0.55\sigma_{\rm c}) - \varepsilon_{\rm a}(0.45\sigma_{\rm c})}
$$

Figure 4-1. Sketch showing the triaxial cell with the rock specimen (grey) with height L and the placement of the rings (black) used for the axial deformation measurements. The membrane is omitted in the figure for simplicity.

The tangents were evaluated with values corresponding to an axial load between 45% and 55% of the axial peak stress σ_c .

A closure of present micro cracks will take place initially during confinement and axial loading. Development of new micro cracks will start when the axial load is further increased and axial stress reaches the crack initiation stress σ_i . The crack growth at this stage is as stable as increased loading is required for further cracking. A transition from a development of micro cracks to macro cracks will take place when the axial load is further increased. At a certain stress level the crack growth becomes unstable. The stress level when this happens is denoted the crack damage stress σ_d , cf /2, 3/. In order to determine the stress levels we look at the volumetric strain.

By subtracting the elastic volumetric strain $\varepsilon_{\text{vol}}^e$ from the total volumetric strain, a volumetric strain corresponding to the crack volume is obtained $\varepsilon_{\rm vol}^{\rm cr}$. This has been denoted calculated crack volumetric strain in the literature, cf /2, 3/. We have thus

$$
\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{vol}}^{\mathrm{cr}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{vol}} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{vol}}^{\mathrm{e}}
$$

Assuming linear elasticity leads to

$$
\varepsilon_{\text{vol}}^{\text{cr}} = \varepsilon_{\text{vol}} - \frac{1 - 2\nu}{E} (\sigma_{\text{a}} - \sigma_{\text{r}})
$$

Experimental investigations have shown that the crack initiation stress σ_i coincides with the onset of increase of the calculated crack volume, cf $/2$, $3/$. The same investigations also indicate that the crack damage stress σ_d can be defined as the axial stress at which the total volume starts to increase, i.e. when a dilatant behaviour is observed.

5 Results

The results of the individual specimens are presented in Section 5.1 and a summary of the results is given in Section 5.2. The reported parameters are based on unprocessed raw data obtained from the testing and were reported to the SICADA database, field note no Forsmark 142. These data together with the digital photographs of the individual specimens were stored on a CD and handed over to SKB. The handling of the results follows SDP-508 (SKB internal controlling document) in general.

5.1 Results of individual specimens

The cracking is shown in pictures taken on the specimens with comments on observations made during the testing. The elasticity parameters have been evaluated by using the results from the local deformation measurements. Red rings are superposed on the graphs indicating every five minutes of the progress of testing. The results for the individual specimens are as follows:

Before mechanical test After mechanical test

19

Before mechanical test After mechanical test

Comments Multiple diagonal cracks. The test was restarted after a sudden large radial expansion.

Cell pressure: 7 [MPa]

Before mechanical test After mechanical test

Before mechanical test After mechanical test

Comments Single major diagonal crack. The test was restarted after a sudden large radial expansion but the specimen had no residual axial strength.

Before mechanical test After mechanical test

Cell pressure: 2 [MPa]

Before mechanical test After mechanical test

Comments Multiple diagonal cracks. The test was restarted after a sudden large radial expansion.

Cell pressure: 7 [MPa]

Before mechanical test After mechanical test

Cell pressure: 7 [MPa]

Before mechanical test After mechanical test

Before mechanical test After mechanical test

Comments Single major diagonal crack along the foliation. The test was restarted after a sudden large radial expansion but the specimen had no residual axial strength*.*

Before mechanical test After mechanical test

Cell pressure: 7 [MPa]

Before mechanical test After mechanical test

Before mechanical test After mechanical test

Comments Multiple diagonal cracks. A small leakage was observed in the membrane.

5.2 Summary of results

A summary of the test results is shown in Tables 5-1 and 5-2. The densities, triaxial compressive strength, the tangent Young's modulus and the tangent Poisson ratio versus sampling depth are shown in Figures 5-1 to 5-4.

Identification	Conf press (MPa)	Density (kg/m ³)	Compressive strength (MPa)	Young's modulus (GPa)	Poisson ratio (–)	Comments, see Section 5.1
KFM02A-115-1	$\overline{2}$	2,660	239.4	72.4	0.17	
KFM02A-115-2	7	2,660	312.3	73.3	0.17	
KFM02A-115-3	7	2,650	338.0	77.2	0.21	
KFM02A-115-4	12	2,650	351.0	72.5	0.18	
KFM02A-115-8	$\overline{2}$	2,650	274.0	71.6	0.20	
KFM02A-115-9	7	2,660	316.8	71.9	0.17	
KFM02A-115-10	7	2,650	298.8	71.4	0.20	
KFM02A-115-11	12	2.650	391.2	72.9	0.20	
KFM02A-115-15	$\overline{2}$	2,660	221.7	74.3	0.17	
KFM02A-115-16	7	2.660	304.2	74.9	0.19	
KFM02A-115-17	7	2,650	300.4	77.0	0.15	
KFM02A-115-18	12	2,640	365.0	73.6	0.20	

Table 5-1. Summary of results.

Table 5-2. Calculated mean values and standard deviation.

Figure 5-1. Density versus sampling depth.

Compressive strength

Figure 5-2. Compressive strength versus sampling depth.

Figure 5-3. Tangent Young's modulus versus sampling depth.

Poisson ratio

Figure 5-4. Tangent Poisson ratio versus sampling depth.

5.3 Nonconformities and discussion

The testing was conducted according to the method description except for two deviations. It was observed that there was an error in the calibration of the LVDTs at the time of testing. The LVDTs were therefore recalibrated and a correction of the measured data could be made. This implied that axial and circumferential strains have been determined within an accuracy of 2.7%, which exceeds what is specified in the ISRM-standard /1/. Further, four tests (KFM02A-115-2, -4, -9 and -15) were restarted after a large sudden expansion leading to a complete unloading of the deviatoric stress. This was done in order to see if the fracturing process could be driven further. However, the specimens had only very small residual strength left and the tests were stopped.

The testing was conducted according to the activity plan.

References

- /1/ **ISRM, 1999.** Draft ISRM suggested method for the complete stress-strain curve for intact rock in uniaxial compression. Int. J. Rock. Mech. Min. Sci. 36(3), pp. 279–289.
- /2/ **Martin C D, Chandler N A, 1994.** The progressive fracture of Luc du Bonnet granite. Int. J. Rock. Mech. Min. Sci. & Geomech. Abstr. 31(6), pp. 643–659.
- /3/ **Eberhardt E, Stead D, Stimpson B, Read R S, 1998.** Identifying crack initiation and propagation thresholds in brittle rock. Can. Geotech. J. 35, pp. 222–233.
- /4/ **ASTM 4543-01, 2001.** Standard practice for preparing rock core specimens and determining dimensional and shape tolerance.
- /5/ **ISRM, 1979.** Suggested Method for Determining Water Content, Porosity, Density, Absorption and Related Properties and Swelling and Slake-durability Index Properties. Int. J. Rock. Mech. Min. Sci. & Geomech. Abstr. 16(2), pp. 141–156.
- /6/ **SS-EN 13755.** Natural stone test methods Determination of water absorption at atmospheric pressure.
- /7/ **ISRM, 1983.** Suggested method for determining the strength of rock material in triaxial compression: Revised version. Int. J. Rock. Mech. Min. Sci. & Geomech. Abstr. 20(6), pp. 283–290.
- /8/ **Stråhle A, 2001.** Definition och beskrivning av parametrar för geologisk, geofysisk och bergmekanisk kartering av berg. SKB R-01-19, Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB. In Swedish.
- /9/ **MATLAB, 2002.** The Language of Technical computing, Version 6.5, MathWorks Inc.

Appendix A

The following equations describe the correct calculation of radial strains when using a circumferential deformation device, see Figure A-1.

$$
\varepsilon_{\rm r} = \frac{\Delta C}{C_{\rm i}}
$$

where

 $C_i = 2 \pi R_i$ = initial specimen circumference

 ΔC = change in specimen circumference = $\overline{1}$ $\left(\frac{\theta_i}{2}\right)^n$ l \cos $\overline{1}$ $\left(\pi-\frac{\theta_i}{2}\right)^{n}$ $\left(\frac{\theta_i}{2}\right)$ + $\left(\pi - \right)$ l ſ $\pi \cdot \Delta X$ 2 cos 2 2 $\sin\left(\frac{\theta_i}{2}\right) + \left(\pi - \frac{\theta_i}{2}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\theta_i}{2}\right)$

and

 ΔX = change in LVDT reading = $X_i - X_i$ $(X_i = \text{initial chain gap}; X_f = \text{current chain gap})$ *L*

$$
\theta_i
$$
 = initial chord angle = $2 \pi - \frac{L_c}{R_i + r}$

 L_c = chain length (measured from center of one end roller to center of the other end roller)

 r = roller radius

 R_i = initial specimen radius

Figure A-1. Chain for radial deformation measurement.

Appendix B

This appendix contains complementary results showing the volumetric strain ε_{vol} versus the axial strain ε_a and the actual radial strain rate d ε_r /dt versus time. The complementary results for all tests are shown below.

Specimen ID: KFM02A−115−01

52

54

