# KBS TERNISK RAPPORT



## DIFFUSION OF SOLUBLE MATERIALS IN A FLUID FILLING A POOUS MEDIUM

Hans Häggblom AB Atomenergi 77-03-24

Objekt 11.05



POSTADRESS: Kärnbränslesäkerhet, Fack. 102 40 Stockholm. Telefon 08-67 95 40

## DIFFUSION OF SOLUBLE MATERIALS IN A FLUID FILLING A POOUS MEDIUM

Hans Häggblom AB Atomenergi 77-03-24 Objekt 11.05

I slutet av rapporten har bifogats en förteckning över KBS hittills publicerade tekniska rapporter i denna serie.



231

1. 20

XX

Diffusion of soluble materials in a fluid filling a 77- porous medium

rbetsrapport

Hans Häggblom

AE B Pershagen

G 01sson

E Hellstrand R Persson

E Johansson K Ekberg

R Håkansson G Andersson

0 Norinder

L Devell, CSM N Kjellbert, SM

RF-77-3231

16/25 1977-03-24

Day

#### Summary

The physical and mathematical foundations of diffusion in porous media are explained. Equations for diffusion of a radioactive nuclide chain are derived. The program DIWA for computing one-dimensional diffusion of one nuclide is described and results from such calculations are given. An underground deposition of wastes was assumed at a deep of 500 m. For a pessimistic assumption about the diffusion coefficient, no decay and no adsorption, the discharge at the ground level was negligible for at least 70000 years.

Hagkonsult Doc Ulf Lindblom

SV P E Ahlström

.

## List of contents

		Page
1.	Introduction	1
2.	Physical and mathematical considerations	2
3.	Numerical computations of diffusion of a single isotope	8

#### REFERENCES

APPENDIX: Solution of the diffusion transport equations for impulse release and two equal adsorption equilibrium constants

#### 1. Introduction

The problem of migration of radionuclides through an adsorbing medium has been investigated by D H Lester et al. [1]. In their work, the transport of the dissolved materials was caused by diffusion and by convection through a constant water flow. The emphasis was put upon the latter phenomenon and explicit equations were given for the case when there is no diffusion. In the present work the contrary assumption will be made, namely, that the only transport effect is due to diffusion. The physical background to this situation will be explained and the resulting expressions for the solution of the differential equations will be given in section 2. Section 3 contains a few comments about the physical constants involved and describes some numerical calculations for the simplest case, namely one-dimensional diffusion of only one type of nuclides.

2.	Physical and mathematical considerations
The f	ollowing notations will be used.
С	= fluid compressibility
D	= diffusion coefficient
g	= acceleration of gravity
К	= adsorption equilibrium constant
K	= permeability of a porous medium
L	= soil column length
N.i	= nuclide discharge rate
N <sup>o</sup> i	= nuclide initial inventory
р	= hydrostatic pressure
Q	= fluid source or sink
t	= time variable
Т	= leach time
V	= fluid velocity for constant flow
v	= mean flow rate per unit area (vector)
x <b>,</b> y,z	= Cartesian space coordinates
<sup>2</sup> 1, <sup>2</sup> 2,	$\overline{\mathfrak{l}}_3$ = unit vectors in the directions of the space coordinates
ε	= porosity coefficient
λ	= decay constant
ц	= viscosity
ψ	= flow potential
ρ	= density

The physical situation assumed is the following. Radionuclides dissolved in water are released from an underground deposition. The surrounding medium contains of a one-dimensional column of porous material and water adsorbed in this material. The ends of the column are at the ground surface and at the storage place. The solution of radionuclides is considered as a source. The transport of this fluid to the surface is caused by the following effects:

1. Thermal motion of the molecues.

- 2. Pressure differences between different points of the column.
- 3. Thermal convection.

It is easily seen that pressure differences can be derived from the effects 1 and 2 also. Therefore, it will hereafter be assumed that the motion is due to a pressure gradient.

The dynamic equilibrium between the forces on a fluid element in a porous medium is given by Darcy's law [2]. For a convenient description of this law, a flow potential,  $\psi$ , is introduced. It is given by the following expression:

$$\psi = g z + \int_{\rho}^{p} \frac{dp}{\rho}$$
(1)

where the first term on the r.h.s. is the gravitational potential and the second term is the hydrodynamic potential. The mean flow rate per unit area is then given by Darcy's law as

$$\overline{\mathbf{v}} = -\frac{\mathbf{K}}{\mathbf{p}}\rho}{\mu} \nabla \psi \tag{2}$$

The transport equation is obtained by combining Eq (2) with the equation of continuity. Then,

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{K_{\mathbf{p}}\rho^2}{\mu} \nabla \psi\right) + Q = \varepsilon \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$$
(3)

The system of Eqs (2) and (3) has been solved numerically by R W Nelson [6] for the pressure as an explicit variable.

In a mixture of two immiscible fluids, Eq (3) is valid for each of them separately.

3

It is now assumed that the compressibility of the fluid is constant, that is,

$$c = \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d\rho}{dp} = constant$$
 (4)

A combination of Eqs (1), (3) and (4) gives

$$\nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{K_{p}}{\mu} \left( \frac{1}{c} \nabla_{\rho} + \overline{\ell}_{3} g_{\rho}^{2} \right) \right] + Q = \varepsilon \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$$
(5)

If the pressure gradient is zero, the fluid has a constant velocity given by

$$V = -\frac{K_{\rm p} \rho g}{\epsilon \mu} \tag{6}$$

Therefore, if a constant velocity V can be superposed upon the flow rate caused by a pressure difference, the transport equation can be written

$$\nabla D \nabla \rho - V \nabla \rho + \frac{Q}{\epsilon} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}$$
 (7)

where

$$D = \frac{K_{p}}{\varepsilon \mu c}$$
(8)

Equation (7) is the starting-point for the treatment in Ref 1 where the diffusion coefficient D was assumed to be constant and Q represents a source from radioactive decay and sinks due to adsorption and radioactive decay. In this work a still simpler model will be considered.

Firstly, the following special case of Eq (7) will be considered for a chain of radioactive nuclides:

$$D \frac{\partial^{2} N_{1}}{\partial z^{2}} - K_{1} \frac{\partial N_{1}}{\partial t} - K_{1} \lambda_{1} N_{1} = 0$$

$$D \frac{\partial^{2} N_{2}}{\partial z^{2}} - K_{2} \frac{\partial N_{2}}{\partial t} - K_{2} \lambda_{2} N_{2} + K_{1} \lambda_{1} N_{1} = 0$$

$$(9)$$

$$\dots$$

$$D \frac{\partial^{2} N_{i}}{\partial z^{2}} - K_{i} \frac{\partial N_{i}}{\partial t} - K_{i} \lambda_{i} N_{i} + K_{i-1} \lambda_{i-1} N_{i-1} = 0$$

This system of equations is identical with the transport equations in Ref 1 for V=0. The solutions for the general case are given by Eqs (2) to (22) in Ref 1. The functions  $F_n(i,j...)$  are, however, changed. It is easy to obtain the corresponding functions for V=0 if one observes that finite values will only be obtained for certain ratios of these functions. For example,  $F_{12}(i,j)$  will be infinite but this function occurs only as a member of the ratio

$$R = \frac{F_{12}(i,j)}{F_{17}(k,\ell)F_{17}(m,n)}$$
(10)

Thus, the corresponding functions were redefined so that the ratio R approaches the proper limit for V=0. This kind of redefinition is made for many functions but will not influence the final expressions for the solutions of Eq (9). The new functions will be written in terms of the following independent variables.

$$n = \frac{z}{L}$$
(11)

$$A_{\rm L} = \frac{L^2}{Dt}$$
(12)

$$A_{z} = \frac{z^{2}}{Dt}$$
(13)

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{i}} = \lambda_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{t} \tag{14}$$

1

Then the functions needed for the solutions are

$$F_{1}(i) = N_{1}^{o} \frac{\eta}{2t} \sqrt{\frac{K_{i}A_{L}}{\pi}} \exp\left(-\tau - \frac{K_{i}A_{Z}}{4}\right)$$
(15)

$$F_{2}(i,j) = \frac{N_{i}^{o}}{2} \left( \frac{K_{i}^{\lambda}i}{K_{i}-K_{j}} \right)$$
(16)

$$F_{3}(i,j,k,l) = 0$$
 (17)

$$F_{4}(i,j,k,\ell) = \frac{K_{K}^{\tau}K_{k}^{-K}K_{\ell}^{\tau}}{K_{K}^{-K}K_{\ell}} - \frac{K_{i}^{\tau}i^{-K}K_{j}^{\tau}}{K_{i}^{-K}K_{j}}$$
(18)

$$\mathbf{F}_{5}(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}) = \exp\left(-\frac{K_{\mathbf{i}}\tau_{\mathbf{i}}-K_{\mathbf{j}}\tau_{\mathbf{j}}}{K_{\mathbf{i}}-K_{\mathbf{j}}}\right)$$
(19)

$$F_{7}(i,j) = \exp\left[\frac{+\eta}{\frac{4K_{i}K_{j}A_{L}(\tau_{j}-\tau_{i})}{K_{i}-K_{j}}}\right]$$
(20)

$$F_{9}(i,j,k\ell) = \operatorname{erfc} \frac{\eta}{2} \left[ \sqrt{K_{K}A_{L}} + \sqrt{\frac{K_{\ell}(\tau_{j}-\tau_{i})}{K_{i}-K_{j}}} \right]$$
(21)

$$F_{10}(i) = \frac{N_i}{T} \exp(-\tau_i) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\eta}{2} \sqrt{K_i A_L}\right)$$
(22)

$$F_{11}(i,j) = \frac{N_{i}^{0}}{2T} \frac{\tau_{i}}{\tau_{j}^{-\tau_{i}}}$$
(23)

$$F_{12}(i,j) = \frac{N_{i}^{0}}{2T} \tau_{i}\tau_{j}$$
(24)

$$F_{13}(i,j,k) = \frac{N_{i}^{o}}{2T} \frac{K_{j}\tau_{i}\tau_{j}}{K_{j}-K_{K}}$$
(25)

$$F_{14}(i,j,k,\ell) = \frac{N_{i}^{0}}{2T} \frac{K_{i}K_{j}\tau_{i}\tau_{j}}{(K_{i}-K_{j})(K_{\ell}-K_{K})}$$
(26)

$$F_{17}(i,j) = \tau_j - \tau_i$$
 (27)

$$F_{18}(i,j,k) = \frac{K_{j}\tau_{j} - K_{K}\tau_{K}}{K_{j} - K_{K}} - \tau_{i}$$
(28)

,

$$F_{19}(i) = \exp(\tau_i)$$
 (29)

$$F_{20}(i,j) = \exp\left[\frac{+\eta\sqrt{K_jA_L(\tau_j-\tau_i)}}{jL(j-\tau_i)}\right]$$
(30)

$$F_{21}(i) = erfc\left(\frac{\eta}{2} \sqrt{K_i A_L}\right)$$
(31)

$$F_{22}(i) = F_{21}(i)$$
 (32)

$$F_{23}(i,j) = \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\sqrt{K_{j}A_{L}} + \sqrt{\tau_{j}-\tau_{i}}\right)$$
(33)

$$F_{24}(i,j) = N_{i}^{o} \frac{\eta}{2t} \sqrt{\frac{K_{j}A_{L}}{\pi}} \frac{\tau_{i}}{\tau_{j}^{-\tau_{i}}} \left[ \exp(-\tau_{i}^{-K_{j}A_{z}}) - \exp(-\tau_{j}^{-K_{j}A_{z}}) \right]$$

$$(34)$$

$$F_{25}(i,j,k) = N_{i}^{o} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \frac{\tau_{i} \tau_{j} K_{i}}{(K_{K} - K_{i})(\tau_{j} - \tau_{i})}$$
(35)

$$F_{26}(i,j) = \frac{N_{i}^{0}}{T} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{j} - \lambda_{i}} \left[ \exp(-\tau_{i}) - \exp(-\tau_{j}) \right] \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\eta}{2} \sqrt{K_{j}A_{L}}\right)$$
(36)

$$F_{27}(i,j,k) = N_i^o \frac{\eta}{2t} \sqrt{\frac{K_j A_L}{\pi}} \tau_i \tau_j \left[ \frac{\exp(-\tau_i)}{(\tau_j - \tau_i)(\tau_k - \tau_i)} + \right]$$

+ 
$$\frac{\exp(-\tau_{,})}{(\tau_{i}-\tau_{j})(\tau_{k}-\tau_{j})}$$
 + (37)

$$+ \frac{\exp(-\tau_{k})}{(\tau_{i}^{-\tau_{k}})(\tau_{j}^{-\tau_{k}})} \exp(-K_{j}A_{z}) \right]$$

$$F_{28}(i,j,k) = \frac{N_{i}^{o}}{T} \tau_{i}\tau_{j} \left[ \frac{\exp(-\tau_{i})}{(\tau_{j}^{-\tau_{i}})(\tau_{k}^{-\tau_{i}})} + \frac{\exp(-\tau_{i})}{(\tau_{i}^{-\tau_{j}})(\tau_{k}^{-\tau_{j}})} + \frac{\exp(-\tau_{i})}{(\tau_{i}^{-\tau_{j}})(\tau_{k}^{-\tau_{j}})} + (38)$$

+ 
$$\frac{\exp(-\tau_k)}{(\tau_i^{-\tau_k})(\tau_j^{-\tau_k})} \int \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{\eta}{2}\sqrt{K_jA_L}\right)$$

The method of solution of Eq (9) is shown in the Appendix for the special case that i=3 and  $K_1 = K_2 \neq K_3$ . The same method can be applied for abritrary values of relations between the adsorption constants K.

When only one nuclide is present the diffusion solution is given by Eq (15) for the impulse release. For decaying band release the proper combination of two expressions of the type given by Eq (22) gives the following solution:

$$N_{\text{band}} = \frac{N^{\circ}}{T} e^{-\lambda t} \left[ \text{erfc}\left(\frac{z}{2} \sqrt{\frac{K}{Dt}}\right) - erfc\left(\frac{z}{2} \sqrt{\frac{K}{D(t-T)}}\right) \right]$$
(39)

If  $t \leq T$ , only the first term within the bracket is to be used. It should be noted that the corresponding expression in Ref 1 is erroneous. It has not been recognized that the solution for t-T has different boundary conditions compared to the solution for time t.

### Numerical computations of diffusion of a single isotope

The theory for one-dimensional diffusion of a single isotope is simple. The difficulty arises in determination of the diffusion constant for the actual material. Theoretically the diffusion constant is obtained from Eq (8). It is, however, more convenient to start with the bulk diffusion constant of the solute in the fluid,  $D_B$ . Then, for fluid-phase pore diffusion, the effective diffusion coefficient is [3], [4], [5]

$$D = \frac{D_B}{2}$$

(40)

It should be observed that the diffusion coefficient in Refs [3], [4] and [5] has a slightly different definition, so that the r.h.s. of Eq (7) should be multiplied by  $\varepsilon$ . The factor 1/2 in Eq (40) is due to the increased path lengths in a porous medium compared to a bulk fluid.

The table below gives some experimental values of  $D_{\rm B}$  , according to Wheeler [5].

#### Table 1

Substance	T[°C]	D <sub>B</sub> [m <sup>2</sup> /s]
$H_2$ in $H_20$	20	$\frac{1}{4 \times 10^{-9}}$
NaCl in H <sub>2</sub> O	20	$1.4 \times 10^{-9}$
Mannitol in H <sub>2</sub> O	70	$1.56 \times 10^{-9}$

It seems obvious that for heavy particles in  $H_2O$ ,  $D_B$  is not larger than  $4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ , that is  $1.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ km}^2/\text{yr}$ . This value has been used in the computations performed. Lester et al. [1] have, for unknown reasons, used  $4.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ km}^2/\text{yr}$ .

The porosity coefficient  $\varepsilon$  which is present in Eqs (3) and (5) to (8), is given together with the permeability  $K_p$  in Table 2, according to Collins [2].

#### Table 2

Substance	<u> </u>	K [Darcys]
Silica powder	0.37-0.49	$\overline{1.3 \times 10^{-2} - 5.1 \times 10^{-2}}$
Loose sand	0.37-0.50	20-180
Soils	0.43-0.54	29-140
Sandstone	0.08-0.38	$5 \times 10^{-4} - 3.0$
Limestone	0.04-0.10	$2 \times 10^{-4} - 4.5 \times 10^{-2}$
Brick	0.12-0.34	$4.8 \times 10^{-3} - 2.2 \times 10^{-1}$

A computer program, DIWA, has been written for calculating the diffusion rate of a single isotope in a one-dimensional porous medium containing a fluid. The complementary error function is computed by the formula [7]

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) = e^{-x^2} \sum_{n=1}^{5} a_n t^n$$
 (41)

where

$$a_2 = 0.284496736$$
  
 $a_3 = 1.421413741$   
 $a_4 = -1.453152027$   
 $a_5 = 1.061405429$ 

 $a_1 = 0.254829592$ 

and

$$t = \frac{1}{1+px}$$
(42)  
p = 0.3275911

The accuracy of this formula is  $1.5 \times 10^{-7}$ , but is not always sufficient for calculating the error functions in Eq (39) because the arguments often are very large. Then the difference between the both error functions is obtained from

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x_{1}) - \operatorname{erfc}(x_{2}) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} e^{-t^{2}} dt \approx$$

$$\approx \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} (x_{2} - x_{1}) e^{-\left(\frac{x_{1} + x_{2}}{2}\right)^{2}}$$
(43)

if

$$\left|\frac{x_2}{x_1} - 1\right| < 10^{-7}$$

The needed input data and their formats are given below. The variable notations are those used in the FORTRAN statements.

Card	Position	Variable	Meaning
1	1-12	CL	Soil column lenght, km
	<b>13-</b> 24	TL	Leach time, yrs
	25-36	DO	Diffusion coefficient for bulk carrier, km²/yrs
	37-48	CNO	Nuclide initial inventory, C <sub>i</sub>
	49-60	DECAY	Decay const., inverted yrs
2	1-12	AK	Adsorption equilibrium const.
	13-24	DT	Time step, yrs
	25-36	DZ	Length step, km
3	1-6	NT	Number of time steps
	7-12	NZ	Number of length steps
	13-18	NBAND	o/l-impulse release/decaying band release.

Calculations have been made for a soil column length of 0.5 km. The nuclide release was either of impulse type or with a leach time of  $10^5$  yrs. The bulk diffusion coefficient was  $1.3 \times 10^{-7}$  km<sup>2</sup>/yr. The decay constant was zero or  $10^{-5}$  yrs<sup>-1</sup>. The adsorption equilibrium constant was 1.0 or 2.0. The nuclide initial inventory was 1.0. The results are shown in Figs 1-3. Fig 1 gives the discharge rate at the column end for impulse release.

Comparing the results with those of Lester et al., it is obvious that the contribution to leakage from diffusion is smaller than the contribution from convection by several orders of magnitude. The largest leakage occurs of course when K=1.0 and  $\lambda$ =0. In this case the relative discharge at z=0.5 km is less than 10<sup>-11</sup> for times smaller than 65000 years. At t=20000 years, the value is only 2.7x10<sup>-24</sup>. It is also very sensitive to the adsorption equilibrium constant. For K=2.0 the leakage is already about 100 times smaller and it should be noted that the K-values given in Ref 1 vary between 100 and 50000.

Fig 2 shows the dependence of the discharge rate on the z-level. As could be expected this dependence is strong if the time is not very long. The conclusion is that each 100 meter deep difference in the position of the disposal site has a strong influence upon the discharge rate. On the other hand, comparing Figs 1 and 3 leads to the conclusion that only very long leach times have any influence upon the dis-charge rate. Thus, if diffusion is the only transport mechanism leach times less than 10000 years can be considered equivalent with impulse release.

#### References

- 1. D H Lester, G Jansen and H C Burkholder Migration of Radionuclide Chains Through an Adsorbing Medium AI Ch E Symposium Series No. 152-Adsorption and Ion Exchange 71 (1975) 202 2. R E Collins Flow of Fluids through Porous Materials Reinhold Publishing Corp., New York (1961) 3. T Vermeulen Separation by adsorption methods Advances in Chemical Engineering, Vol II, p 147 Edited by T B Drew and J W Hoopes, Jr. Academic Press, New York (1958) 4. A Wheeler Advances in Catalysis 3 (1951) 250 5. A Wheeler Catalysis, Vol 2, p 105 Edited by P H Emmett Reinhold, New York (1955) 6. R W Nelson Steady Darcian Transport of Fluids in Heterogeneous Partially Saturated Porous Media H W-72335PT1 (1962) 7. M Abramowitz and I A Stegun (editors) Handbook of Mathematical Functions, p 299 National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series 55 (1964) 8. M G Smith
  - M G Smith Laplace Transform Theory D van Nostrand, London (1966)

RF-77-3231 APPENDIX 1977-03-24

Solution of the diffusion transport equations for impulse release and two equal adsorption equilibrium constants

For impulse release the boundary conditions coupled to Eq (9) are [1]:

Initial	Inlet	Outlet
t=o, all z	t>0, z=0	t>o, z=∞
N <sub>1</sub> =0	$N_1 = N_1^0 \delta(t)$	N <sub>1</sub> =finite
N <sub>2</sub> =0	$N_2 = N_2^{O}\delta(t)$	N <sub>2</sub> =finite
N <sub>i</sub> =o	$N_i = N_i^0 \delta(t)$	N.=finite

It will be assumed that i=3. Applying the Laplace transform on Eq (9) leads to the following system of equations

$$D \frac{d^{2}\bar{N}_{1}}{dz^{2}} - sK_{1}\bar{N}_{1} - \lambda_{1}K_{1}\bar{N}_{1} = o$$

$$D \frac{d^{2}\bar{N}_{2}}{dx^{2}} - sK_{2}\bar{N}_{2} - \lambda_{2}K_{2}\bar{N}_{2} + \lambda_{1}K_{1}\bar{N}_{1} = o$$

$$D \frac{d^{2}\bar{N}_{3}}{dx^{2}} - sK_{3}\bar{N}_{3} - \lambda_{3}K_{3}\bar{N}_{3} + \lambda_{2}K_{2}\bar{N}_{2} = o$$
(A1)

where

$$\bar{N}_{i}(z,s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} N_{1}(z,t) dt$$
(A2)

The boundary conditions coupled to Eq (A1) are

$$\tilde{N}_{i}(o,s) = N_{i}^{o}$$
(A3)

The solution for  $\ensuremath{\,\bar{N}_1}$  can be obtained in a straight-forward way as

$$\bar{N}_{1}(z,s) = N_{1}^{o} e^{-z \sqrt{\frac{K_{1}(\lambda_{1}+s)}{D}}}$$
(A4)

APPENDIX

The inverse Laplace transform of Eq (A4) is well known (see for example M G Smith [8]) and gives the result

$$N_{1}(z,t) = \frac{N_{1}^{o}}{2t} \sqrt{\frac{K_{1}}{\pi D t}} e^{-\lambda t} - \frac{K_{1} z^{2}}{4 D t}$$
(A5)

Eq (A5) is of course identical with Eq (15). For solving the second row of Eq (A1), it is assumed that  $K_1 = K_2$ . This gives a simple solution for  $N_2(z,t)$  and the general method will still be used when solving for  $N_3(z,t)$ . Inserting Eq (A4) into the second row of Eq (A1) gives

$$D \frac{d^{2}\bar{N}_{2}}{dz^{2}} - (s+\lambda_{2})K_{1}\bar{N}_{2}+\lambda_{1}K_{1}N_{1}^{0} e^{-z} \sqrt{\frac{K_{1}(\lambda_{1}+s)}{D}} = o \qquad (A6)$$

The solution of Eq (A6) with the proper boundary condition is

$$\bar{N}_{2}(z,s) = \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}} N_{1}^{o} e^{-z} \sqrt{\frac{K_{1}(\lambda_{1}+s)}{D}} + \left(N_{2}^{o} - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}\right) e^{-z} \sqrt{\frac{K_{1}(\lambda_{2}+s)}{D}}$$
(A7)

The inverse transform of Eq (A7) [8] gives the final solution for  $N_2(z,t)$  as

$$N_{2}(z,t) = \frac{1}{2t} \sqrt{\frac{K_{1}}{\pi D t}} z e^{-\frac{K_{1} z^{2}}{4 D t}} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{1} N_{1}^{0}}{\lambda_{2}^{-\lambda_{1}}} e^{-\lambda_{1} t} + \left( N_{2}^{0} - \frac{\lambda_{1} N_{1}^{0}}{\lambda_{2}^{-\lambda_{1}}} \right) e^{-\lambda_{2} t} \right]$$
(A8)

Now Eq (A7) is inserted into the third row of Eq (A1). The differential equation for  $\bar{N}_3(z,s)$  then becomes

$$D \frac{d^{2}\bar{N}_{3}}{dz^{2}} - (s+\lambda_{3})K_{3}\bar{N}_{3}+\lambda_{2}K_{1} \left[\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}N_{1}^{o}e^{-z\sqrt{K_{1}(\lambda_{1}+s)}}{D} + (N_{2}^{o}-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}})e^{-z\sqrt{K_{1}(\lambda_{2}+s)}}{D}\right] = o$$
(A9)

The solution of Eq (A9) with the proper boundary conditions is

$$\bar{N}_{3}(z,s) = \frac{A_{1}}{s+a_{1}} e^{-z \sqrt{\frac{K_{1}(\lambda_{1}+s)}{D}}} + \frac{A_{2}}{s+a_{2}} e^{-z \sqrt{\frac{K_{1}(\lambda_{2}+s)}{D}}} + \frac{A_{2}}{s+a_{2}} e^{-z \sqrt{\frac{K_{1}(\lambda_{2}$$

where

$$A_{1} = \frac{\lambda_{2} K_{1}}{K_{3} - K_{1}} \frac{\lambda_{1} N_{1}^{o}}{\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1}}$$
(A11)

$$a_{1} = \frac{\lambda_{3}K_{3}^{-\lambda} \lambda_{1}K_{1}}{K_{3}^{-K} \lambda_{1}}$$
(A12)

$$A_{2} = \frac{\lambda_{2} K_{2}}{K_{3} - K_{2}} \left( N_{2}^{0} - \frac{\lambda_{1} N_{1}^{0}}{\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1}} \right)$$
(A13)

$$a_2 = \frac{\lambda_3 K_3^{-\lambda_2 K_2}}{K_3^{-K_2}}$$
(A14)

and

<sup>к</sup>2<sup>=к</sup>1

APPENDIX

A.3

The inverse transform of Eq (A10) contains integrals of the following type:

$$F(a,b,c) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-i\infty+\alpha}^{i\infty+\alpha} \frac{st - \sqrt{\frac{s+b}{c}}}{s+a} ds$$
(A15)

where  $\alpha$  is such that the integration path goes to the right of all singularities and branch points. The convolution theorem gives

$$F(a,b,c,) = \frac{e^{-bt}}{2\pi i} \int_{-i^{\infty}+\alpha}^{i^{\infty}+\alpha} \frac{st - \sqrt{\frac{s}{c}}}{s^{+}a^{-b}}$$
(A16)

The solution of this integral is dependent on whether a-b is positive or negative. It is hereafter assumed that a-b is negative. The integral to be solved can then be written

$$G(c,s_{o}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L} \frac{st - \sqrt{\frac{s}{c}}}{s - s_{o}} ds$$
(A17)

where the positive constant s is

$$s_{o} = \lambda_{j} + \frac{K_{3}(\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{3})}{K_{3} - K_{i}}$$
,  $i = 1, 2$  (A18)

s<sub>o</sub> is positive if  $\lambda_i - \lambda_3$  and  $K_3 - K_i$  have the same sign. Because only three nuclides are considered, it is meaningful to set  $\lambda_3 = 0$ . This is the same as assuming that all subsequent nuclides have the same K-values as the 3rd nuclide.

The integration path is determined by the branch line in the numerator and is shown in the figure below.

A.4



The branch line consists of the negative part of the real axis.  $s_0$  is a simple pole. The contributions between s=0 and  $s=s_0$  cancel each other. Along the negative axis, the square root of the argument is

$$\sqrt{s} = + i \sqrt{|s|}$$
 (A19)

Then, with  $\rho = s_0 - s_0$ ,

$$G(c,s_{0}) = \frac{e^{\circ} t}{2\pi i} \left\{ -\int_{s_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\rho t} \left(e^{i\sqrt{\rho-s_{0}}} - e^{-i\sqrt{\rho-s_{0}}} - e^{-i\sqrt{\rho-s_{0}}} \right)}{\rho} d\rho + i\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-\sqrt{s_{0}}} d\varphi \right\} =$$

$$= e^{s_{0}t - \sqrt{s_{0}}} - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{\rho+s_{0}} \sin\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{c}} d\rho$$
(A20)

The integral in Eq (A20) can be solved by introducing a new variable  $\tau$  in the following way:

RF-77-3231 APPENDIX 1977-03-24

$$I = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\rho t}}{\rho + s_{0}} \sin \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{c}} d\rho = e^{s_{0}t} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s_{0}\tau} d\tau \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\tau\rho} \sin \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{c}} d\rho$$
(A21)

Setting  $\rho = r^2$  and integrating by parts gives

$$I = \frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} e^{s_o t} \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-s_o \tau} \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\tau r^2} \cos\left(\frac{r}{c}\right) dr =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}} e^{s_o t} \int_{t}^{\infty} e^{-s_o \tau} - \frac{1}{4c\tau} \frac{-3}{\tau} \frac{3}{d\tau} d\tau$$
(A22)

Then two transformations are made, namely  $u = \tau^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ 

and

1

$$\mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{c}} \mathbf{u} - \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\mathbf{u}}$$
(A24)

whereby the integral becomes

$$I = \pi e^{s_{o}t - \sqrt{\frac{s_{o}}{c}}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{ct}} - \sqrt{s_{o}t}\right) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{\frac{1}{2\sqrt{ct}}}^{\infty} \frac{v e^{-v^{2}}}{\sqrt{\frac{v^{2}+2\sqrt{\frac{s_{o}}{c}}}}} dv\right]$$
(A25)

The integral in the last term is solved by setting

$$\xi^2 = v^2 + 2 \sqrt{\frac{s_0}{c}}$$
 (A26)

(A23)

and the final expression is

$$I = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{s_{o}t} \left[ 2e^{-\sqrt{\frac{s_{o}}{c}}} - e^{-\frac{s_{o}}{c}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{ct}} + \sqrt{s_{o}t}\right) - \frac{\sqrt{\frac{s_{o}}{c}}}{e^{c}} \operatorname{erfc}\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{ct}} - \sqrt{\frac{s_{o}}{c}}\right) \right]$$
(A27)

Combining Eqs (A16), (A17), (A20) and (A27) the function F(a,b,c) defined by Eq (A15) can then be written as

$$F(a,b,c) = e^{-at} \left\{ e^{\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{c}}} \operatorname{erfc} \left[ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{ct}} + \sqrt{t(b-a)} \right] + e^{-\sqrt{\frac{b-a}{c}}} \operatorname{erfc} \left[ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{ct}} - \sqrt{t(b-a)} \right] \right\}$$
(A28)

The discharge rate of nuclide No. 3 is finally obtained by the inverse transform of Eq (AlO) as

$$N_{3}(z,t) = \frac{N_{3}^{o}}{2} \sqrt{\frac{K_{3}}{\pi D t}} e^{-\frac{K_{3}z^{2}}{4D t}} + A_{1} \Big[ F\Big(a_{1},\lambda_{1},\frac{D}{K_{1}z^{2}}\Big) - F\Big(a_{1},\lambda_{3},\frac{D}{K_{3}z^{2}}\Big) \Big] + A_{2} \Big[ F\Big(a_{2},\lambda_{2},\frac{D}{K_{2}z^{2}}\Big) - F\Big(a_{2},\lambda_{3},\frac{D}{K_{3}z^{2}}\Big) \Big]$$
(A29)

where  $A_{i}$  and  $a_{i}$  are obtained from Eqs (A11) to (A14).



Fig. 2 Recutiva discharge rates at different 2-10, N 2 Impulse rounce, Kalin, har 0. 155 Z=0,1 k.m 15 Z=0.2 km Z=0.3 km 10-7 15-8 2=0.4 km 10-9 Z=O.skm 15 -10 20 30 40 50 60 80 70 90 t x 153, yrs



#### Förteckning över tekniska rapporter

- 01. Källstyrkor i utbränt bränsle och högaktivt avfall från en PWR beräknade med ORIGEN Nils Kjellbert AB Atomenergi 77-04-05
- 02. PM angående värmeledningstal hos jordmaterial Sven Knutsson och Roland Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 77-04-15
- 03. Deponering av högaktivt avfall i borrhål med buffertsubstans A Jocobsson och R Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 77-05-27
- 04. Deponering av högaktivt avfall i tunnlar med buffertsubstans A Jacobsson, R Pusch Högskolan i Luleå 77-06-01
- 05. Orienterande temperaturberäkningar för slutförvaring i berg av radioaktivt avfall Roland Blomqvist AB Atomenergi 77-03-17
- 06. Groundwater movements around a repository, Phase 1, State of the art and detailed study plan Ulf Lindblom Hagconsult AB 77-02-28
- 07. Resteffekt för KBS del 1 Litteraturgenomgång Del 2 Beräkningar K Ekberg, N Kjellbert, G Olsson AB Atomenergi 77-04-19

- 08. Utlakning av franskt, engelskt och kanadensiskt glas med högaktivt avfall Göran Blomqvist AB Atomenergi 77-05-20
- 09. Diffusion of soluble materials in a fluid filling a porous medium Hans Häggblom AB Atomenergi 77-03-24

1