P-04-129

Oskarshamn site investigation

Boremap mapping of core drilled borehole KLX02

Jan Ehrenborg, Mirab

Vladislav Stejskal, Geosigma AB

April 2004

Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB

Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co Box 5864 SE-102 40 Stockholm Sweden Tel 08-459 84 00 +46 8 459 84 00 Fax 08-661 57 19 +46 8 661 57 19

ISSN 1651-4416 SKB P-04-129

Oskarshamn site investigation

Boremap mapping of core drilled borehole KLX02

Jan Ehrenborg, Mirab

Vladislav Stejskal, Geosigma AB

April 2004

Keywords: KLX02, Geology, Drill core mapping, BIPS, Acoustic televiewer, Boremap, Fractures, Laxemar.

This report concerns a study which was conducted for SKB. The conclusions and viewpoints presented in the report are those of the authors and do not necessarily coincide with those of the client.

A pdf version of this document can be downloaded from www.skb.se

Abstract

Borehole KLX02 (ca 1700 m long) was drilled in 1992 in the Laxemar sub area within the Oskarshamn site investigation. The borehole is telescopic which means that the uppermost part, 0–202.95 m, was percussion drilled, while the rest (202.95–1700.5 m) was core drilled. Only the interval 203 m–1005 m was mapped with Boremap.

Fractures were studied in the drill core together with acoustic televiewer images, while alterations and other structures only were observed in the drill core. Only few rock type contacts were visible in the acoustic televiewer images. All the information was documented in the software Boremap and the mapping data will be used in further interpretation of the bedrock conditions in the area down to a depth of 1000 m.

Homogeneous Ävrö granite is the only principal lithology in KLX02, and hence lithology could not be used for sectioning KLX02. Other geological parameters, however, show a possibility to divide KLX02 in three longer sections based on the variation in geological structures.

Section I, 200–340 m, is characterized by lack of foliation and shorter lithological units. Oxidized intervals are few, thin and faint and the frequency of open fractures (interpreted) is normal to low.

Section II, 340–740 m, is characterized by almost continuous foliation and rather frequently occurring shorter lithological units, especially fine-grained dioritoid. Oxidized intervals are rather common and open fracture (interpreted) frequencies are normal to low.

Section III, 740–1000 m, is characterized by lack of foliation and alternating bands of Ävrö granite, fine-grained dioritoid and fewer intervals with fine-grained diorite-gabbro. Oxidized intervals are common and vary in intensity from faint to strong. Open fracture (interpreted) frequencies are considerably higher than in sections I and II. Section III has also several longer intervals with sealed fracture network, a parameter that is lacking in sections I and II

KLX02 has extremely low frequencies of sealed fractures (interpreted) and is devoid of breccias and mylonites.

Sammanfattning

Borrhål KLX02 (ca 1700 m långt) borrades 1992 i delområdet Laxemar som ligger inom området för Oskarshamns platsundersökningar. Borrhålet är teleskopiskt vilket betyder att den övre delen, 0–202.95 m, borrades med hammarborrning och resten (202.95–1700.5 m) med kärnborrning. Endast intervallet 203–1005 m karterades med Boremapsystemet.

Sprickor studerades i både borrkärnan och de akustiska televiewerbilderna medan omvandlingar och andra strukturer endast kunde observeras i borrkärnan. Få bergartskontakter var synliga i de akustiska televiewerbilderna. All information dokumenterades i programmet Boremap. Dessa data kommer att ligga till grund för framtida tolkningar av bergets egenskaper i delområdet Laxemar ner till 1000 m djup.

Homogen Ävrögranit är dominerande litologi som förekommer i KLX02. Dessutom förekommer gångar och kortare intervaller av möjliga enklaver. Den litologiska parametern kunde därför inte användas för sektionering av KLX02. Emellertid så kunde variationer i intensitet hos geologiska strukturer användas för en ganska tydlig uppdelning av KLX02 i tre längre sektioner.

Sektion I, 200–340 m, karakteriseras av avsaknad av såväl foliation som av kortare litologiska enheter såsom gångar. De oxiderade intervaller som förekommer i sektion I är få, korta och svaga, och frekvensen av öppna sprickor (tolkade) är normal till låg.

Sektion II, 340–740 m, karakteriseras av nästan kontinuerligt förekommande foliation samt ganska vanligt förekommande kortare litologiska enheter såsom gångar och enklaver, speciellt finkornig dioritoid. Oxiderade intervaller är ganska vanliga och frekvensen av öppna sprickor (tolkade) är normal till låg.

Sektion III, 740–1000 m, karakteriseras av avsaknad av foliation och växling mellan Ävrögranit och finkornig dioritoid samt få intervaller med finkornig diorit-gabbro. Oxiderade intervaller är vanliga och varierar i intensitet från svag till stark. Frekvensen av öppna sprickor (tolkade) är betydligt högre än för sektionerna I och II. Sektion III har också flera långa intervaller med läkta spricknätverk, en parameter som saknas i sektionerna I och II.

Frekvensen av läkta sprickor (tolkade) är extremt låg i KLX02 och detta borrhål saknar också breccior och myloniter.

Contents

1 Introduction

This document reports data gained by Boremap mapping of the core drilled, 1700.5 m deep, borehole KLX02 (Figure 1-1). Only the interval 200–1005 m was mapped.

Since 2002, SKB investigates two potential sites for a deep deposition of nuclear waste in the Swedish Precambrian basement at approximately 500 m depth. These places are Forsmark in northern Uppland and Oskarshamn in eastern Småland. In order to make a preliminary evaluation of the rock mass down to a depth of about 1 km at these sites, SKB has initiated a drilling program using core drilled boreholes. Also older boreholes have been used for this evaluation.

KLX02 was drilled 1992. It is a telescopic borehole, which means that the uppermost part (down to 203 m) has been percussion drilled with a larger diameter, while the rest of the borehole has been core drilled.

Detailed mapping of the drill cores are essential for a three dimensional understanding of the geology at depth. The Boremap mapping is basically based on the use of BIPSimages of the borehole wall and by the study of the drill core itself. Other images like acoustic televiewer images can, however, also be mapped with the Boremap system. BIPS as well as acoustic televiewer images, enable the study of orientations, since the Boremap software calculates strike and dip of planar structures such as foliations, rock contacts and fractures. In BIPS-images, the fracture apertures in the rock can be estimated. Important to keep in mind is that the mappings only represent the bedrock where it is intersected by the drill holes.

The acoustic televiewer method was used for the Boremap mapping of KLX02.

Figure 1-1. Location of the core drilled borehole KLX02.

2 Objective and scope

The principal aim of the mapping activities presented in this report is to obtain a detailed documentation of geological structures and lithologies intersecting borehole KLX02. Geological structures will be correctly orientated in space along the borehole. The results will serve as a platform for forthcoming investigations of the drill core, as well as various site descriptive modelling.

3 Equipment

3.1 Description of software

The mapping was performed in Boremap v 3.3.2, loaded with the bedrock and mineral standards of SKB. The final data presentation was made using StereoNet and WellCad v 3.2.

Boremap is a computerized system that unite orthodox core mapping with modern video mapping. Boremap is the brain of the system and deals with the mapping as well as the internal communication between programs. Boremap shows the video images from BIPS (Borehole Image Processing System) or the acoustic televiewer images and extracts the geometrical parameters: length, width, strike and dip from the image.

3.2 Other equipment

The following equipment was used to facilitate the core mapping: folding rule and pen, hydrochloric acid, knife, water-filled atomizer and hand lens.

3.3 The acoustic televiewer image

The BIPS-image is a registration of reflected light (an optical televiewer method), while the acoustic televiewer image is a registration of reflected sound waves. The acoustic televiewer image is thus quite different from the BIPS-image. Further on, the BIPS-image is a photo like colour image of the borehole wall, while the acoustic televiewer image is a black and white image.

3.3.1 Acoustic televiewer image quality

The BIPS-image quality depends on the visibility in the borehole water and on dirt stuck to the borehole walls. The quality of the image thus decreases with the amount of clayey suspensions in the borehole water.

The acoustic televiewer image mainly register differences in hardness between different lithologies/mineralogies, and between fractures/structures and wall rock. It is not believed that dense clayey suspensions and blackish coatings from the drilling equipment have any significant influence on the registration quality of the acoustic televiewer image.

The acoustic televiewer images have a slight to very noisy background of disturbing bands and lens shaped forms orientated in systematic patterns that are either parallel, diagonal or at right angle to the borehole (see Appendix 4). At a first glance, these background patterns seem to be very disturbing for the mapping. However, the systematic arrangement of the background noise makes it very easy to differentiate the noise and the fracture traces and the background noise was rather soon ignored by the mapping personnel. The problem is not to differentiate the noisy background from geological parameters but to know what geological parameters that are registered by the acoustic televiewer method.

The quality evaluation of acoustic televiewer images is not comparable with the quality evaluation of BIPS-images, since the two methods register different information. The BIPSimage quality is based on sharpness and richness in details since it registers light. For this reason the BIPS-image quality might be very good even though many fractures are invisible.

When looking at an acoustic televiewer image we do not know, however, if it is sharp and rich in details in the same way as the BIPS-image. The quality of the acoustic televiewer image can thus not be directly related to the amount, or the intensity, of background noise. The acoustic televiewer image quality is instead judged from whether fractures can be detected or not. If many fractures are invisible in the acoustic televiewer image the quality is regarded as bad.

The acoustic televiewer image of KLX02 covers the interval 198.5–1000.6 m in one single file.

The image quality is classified into four classes; good, acceptable, bad and very bad. The quality was as follows downwards along the borehole; 198.5–203.5 m very bad, 203.5–221 m very good, 221–255 rather good, 255–267 m very bad, 267–436 m acceptable but not good and the interval 436–1006 m had an uneven quality from acceptable to bad.

3.3.2 Geological parameters registered in the acoustic televiewer image

Lithological parameters like rock contacts, foliation, sealed network and alteration as well as structural parameters could not be identified in the acoustic televiewer image of KAV01. This was also generally the case for KLX02. However, when mineralogies differed in close lying lithologically different rock types, like a pegmatite vein in contact with an intermediate rock, such contacts could generally be mapped in the acoustic televiewer image of KLX02. These contacts were visible in the acoustic televiewer image because one of the rock types was lighter than the other in the image. These contacts were, however, always very subtile.

The other parameters mentioned above, like foliation and alteration could not be observed in the KLX02 acoustic televiewer image. They were thus mapped only in the drill core and then registered with the Boremap system. When possible these parameters were orientated according to the guide-line method for orientation of fractures/structures not visible in the BIPS- or acoustic televiewer images. Generally, however, they were only registered as lines at right angle to the drill core to show at what depth the parameter occurred.

3.3.3 Detectability of fractures in the acoustic televiewer image

Open as well as sealed fractures were often easily detectable in the acoustic televiewer image. Sealed fractures were generally observed as light grey – often rather weak – traces, while open fractures were observed as darker grey to blackish traces.

The lack of a detailed background reflecting texture and mineralogy in true colours as in the BIPS-images, often made fractures stand out in a more obvious way compared to the BIPSimages. Therefore there was never any need to search for fracture traces in the acoustic televiewer images. They simply were there or not.

The variability of how well a sealed fracture is detected by the acoustic televiewer image is here exemplified by calcite and quartz sealed fractures. Calcite fractures are generally very easy to detect with the acoustic televiewer and even extremely thin calcite fractures that

are hardly visible in the drill core are picked up by the acoustic televiewer. These very thin calcite sealed fractures are often even more clearly visible in the acoustic televiewer image than open fractures.

On the contrary quartz sealed fractures are almost impossible to detect even if they are 2–3 cm thick. These differences are probably related to the hardness of the mineral filling the fracture. If the hardness of the mineral is close to the hardness of the wall rock, it will most likely be very difficult or impossible to detect the fracture. On the other hand, if the mineral in the fracture is much softer than the wall rock it will be easier to detect. Sealed fractures with quartz that are easily to detect in KLX02 are thus believed to contain at least one more mineral type.

Occasionally it was difficult to follow fracture traces in intervals with a large amount of fractures, since the fracture traces showed up as an entangled ball of yarn. It was then important to orientate fractures with the guide-line method to be sure that the right fracture trace was delineated in the acoustic televiewer image.

In times, the corresponding fractures to obvious fracture traces in the acoustic televiewer image could not be seen in the drill core. These fractures were mapped with the mineral code X9 as first mineral fill, as were the same type of fractures detected by BIPS. Such fractures are much more obvious in the acoustic televiewer image than in the BIPS-image

Also fresh fractures without mineral fillings are more often visible in the acoustic televiewer image than in the BIPS-image. These fractures are marked with X7 as first mineral fill.

Fractures are always represented by a rather thick fracture trace with even width in the acoustic televiewer image and the fracture trace always has the same width all through the image. It is thus not possible to estimate the fracture width from the acoustic televiewer images. Open fractures do not cast any shadows along their traces in the acoustic televiewer image why it is not possible to evaluate aperture from these images.

3.3.4 Orientation problems in the acoustic televiewer image

The localization of an observation at the correct depth was occasionally problematic in the acoustic televiewer images since the only orientation references that can be seen in the acoustic televiewer image are open and sealed fractures. The few, and very subtile, rock contacts that could be mapped in the KLX02 acoustic televiewer image were hardly of any help for the orientation of fractures and other structures. This lack of orientation references might be problematic for the orientation of fractures not visible in the acoustic televiewer images.

3.3.5 Comparison between the BIPS- and the acoustic televiewer image

The BIPS-image is clearly superior to the acoustic televiewer image if all geological parameters are considered as well as the possibility to position features at their exact depth.

An important advantage of the acoustic televiewer method is that dense clayey suspensions in the borehole water as well as blackish coatings on the borehole walls do not seem to have negative effects on the possibility to detect fractures as they have for BIPS-images.

Further on, colour contrast between fracture and wall rock, which seem to be very important in BIPS-images, does not influence the ability to detect fractures in the acoustic televiewer images. It can thus be very difficult to observe fractures with low colour contrast to the wall

rock in the BIPS-images; for example, red fractures in a red rock type or a dark fracture in a dark rock type. This is not the case for the acoustic televiewer image. However, hardness contrast between fracture minerals and wall rock is essential for the detection of fractures in acoustic televiewer images. Such hardness contrast is of no importance for the detection of fractures in BIPS-images.

The acoustic televiewer image picks up fractures just as well as the BIPS-image does. The fracture visibility might even be better in some acoustic televiewer images than in BIPS-images.

Because of the easiness to observe fractures at a first glance in the acoustic televiewer images, the mapping of fractures might even be faster compared to mapping with BIPSimages.

It is possibly easier to detect extremely thin sealed fractures, for example, fractures sealed with calcite, with acoustic televiewer images than with BIPS-images. This might be of some interest, since these fractures sometimes are quite weak and has a tendency to break up during drill core handling. Also sealed network fractures might be easier to detect with acoustic televiewer images.

4 Execution

The Boremap-mapping of the telescopic drilled borehole KLX02 was performed and documented according to activity plan AP PS 400-03-078 (SKB, internal document) referring to the Method Description for Boremap mapping (SKB MD 143.006, v 1.0, SKB, internal controlling document).

The acoustic televiewer image covered the interval 198.5–1006 m and was mapped without interruption.

The drill cores were displayed on inclined roller tables and mapped in their entire length with the Boremap system at Simpevarp. The core mapping was carried out without any detailed geological knowledge of the area. Geophysical logs from the borehole were available and they were of good help for some detailed problems (see chapter 6 Discussion).

To maintain systematic judgements in the mapping, each geologist had the same task throughout the mapping. Vladislav Stejskal was responsible for handling the drill core and Jan Ehrenborg for the delineation of structures in the acoustic televiewer image.

4.1 Preparations

Any depth registered in the acoustic televiewer image deviates from the true depth in the borehole, a deviation which increases with depth. This problem was eliminated by adjusting the depth according to reference slots cut into the borehole every fiftieth meter (Appendix 8). The level for each slot was measured in the acoustic televiewer image and then adjusted to the correct level using the correct depth value in SICADA.

The orientations of the observations were adjusted to true space. Data necessary for this adjustment were borehole diameter and deviation; both collected from SICADA (Appendices 6 and 7).

4.2 Execution of measurements

Concepts used during the Boremap mapping are defined in this chapter.

4.2.1 Fracture definitions

Definitions of different fracture types are found in "Nomenklatur vid Boremapkartering" by Larsson and Stråhle (PM, 2004-02-05 SKB, internal controlling document). Apertures for broken fractures have been mapped in accordance with the definitions in this PM with the exception that fracture trace shadow effects have not been used, since they do not occur in the acoustic televiewer image.

In the mapping phase, fractures that have parted the core are mapped as "Broken" and fractures that have not parted the core, are mapped as "Unbroken". All fractures are described with their fracture minerals and other characteristics, such as width and aperture. Since apertures could not be mapped from the acoustic televiewer image, all apertures were estimated from the drill core. Core pieces with very bad fit and/or fracture surfaces with euhedral crystals ≥ 1 mm were characterized as "certain" and given a value ≥ 1 . Smaller apertures were denoted a value of 0.5 mm. Core pieces with bad fit were characterized as "probable aperture" and fractures with a dull or altered surface as "possible aperture".

All fractures in the SICADA database that possess apertures > 0 mm, are interpreted as "Open" and fractures with apertures = 0 mm, are interpreted as "Sealed". "Unbroken" fractures which possess apertures > 0 mm, are interpreted as "Partly open" and are included in the "Open"-category. "Open" and "Sealed" fractures are finally frequency calculated and shown in the composite log (see Appendix 5).

4.2.2 Fracture alteration and joint alteration number

The joint alteration number is principally related to the thickness of, and the clay content in, a fracture. Thicker fractures rich in clay minerals therefore get joint alteration numbers 2–3. The absolute majority of fractures in KLX02, however, are very thin to extremely thin and do rarely contain clay minerals. Therefore they get joint alteration numbers between 1 and 2.

A subdivision of fractures with joint alteration numbers between 1 and 2 was introduced to facilitate both the evaluation process for fracture alterations and the possibility to compare the alterations between different fractures in the boreholes. The subdivision is based on fracture mineralogy and was as follows: a) fracture wall alterations, b) fracture mineral fillings assumed to have been deposited from circulating water rich solutions and c) fracture mineral fillings most likely resulting from altered wall rock material.

Joint alteration number equal to 1

Fractures without mineral fillings but with fracture wall alterations were both considered as alterations of the wall rock and not as fracture alteration minerals. Examples are fractures without mineral fillings but with red coloured oxidized fracture walls and/or dirty greenish coloured epidotized fracture walls. The joint alteration was classified as fresh for these fractures and the joint alteration number set to 1.

The minerals calcite, quartz, fluorite and zeolites like laumontite as well as sulphides were regarded as deposited by circulating water rich solutions in open fractures and not as true fracture alteration minerals. The joint alteration number was thus set to 1 also for these minerals.

Joint alteration number equal to 1.5

Epidote, prehnite, hematite, chlorite and/or clay minerals were regarded as fracture minerals most likely resulting from altered wall rock material. A weak alteration was thus assumed and the joint alteration number was set to 1.5. Extra attention was given to clay minerals since the occurrence of these often resulted in a higher joint alteration number.

Joint alteration numbers higher than 1.5

When the mineral fillings were thicker and contained a few mm thick bands of clay minerals, often together with minerals like epidote and chlorite, the joint alteration number was set to 2. In the extremely rare cases, when fractures contain 5–10 mm thick clayey bands, together with epidote and chlorite, the joint alteration number is set to 3.

When the alteration of a fracture was too thick (and/or intense?) to give the fracture the joint alteration number 1.5 and too thin and/or weak to give it a 2, 1.7 and 1.8 were used.

4.2.3 Mapping of broken fractures not visible in the acoustic televiewer image

Not all fractures that cut the drill core are visible in the acoustic televiewer images. Such fractures have been orientated using the guide-line method, based on the following data:

- Absolute depth.
- Amplitude (measured along the drill core). The amplitude is the interval along a drill core which is cut by a fracture.
- Exact orientation of the fracture trace, measured on the drill core in relation to a close lying, well defined, geological structure visible in the acoustic televiewer image.

The error of orientating fractures using the guide-line method is not known but an estimation using stereographic plots indicated that the error is most likely insignificant. Anyhow, the guide-line method is so far considered much better than only marking fractures that are non-visible in the acoustic televiewer images as planes perpendicular to the borehole. The fractures in question are mapped as "non-visible in BIPS" in Boremap. They can therefore be separated from fractures visible in acoustic televiewer which have a more accurate orientation.

When using the guide-line method the difference between the \sim 47 mm drill core diameter and the 76 mm borehole diameter must be considered. This difference result in displacements of the structures seen in the drill core compared with the structures seen in the acoustic televiewer image, which represents the borehole walls. This displacement is zero for structures that cut the drill core at right angle and successively becomes larger as the orientation of the structure approximates the direction of the drill core axis. This displacement always has to be corrected for, since displacements of a few cm are common even if they seldom reach 10 cm.

Orientation of fractures and other structures with the guide-line method is done in the following way: The first step in the guide-line method is to correct the amplitude of the fracture trace in the image to the higher amplitude value. The second step is the correction of strike and dip. This is done by rotating the fracture trace in the acoustic televiewer image relative to a feature with known orientation. The fracture is then located at the correct depth according to the depth measured on the drill core.

The guide-line method can be used to orientate any fracture/structure that is not visible or visible in the acoustic televiewer image. It is also a valuable tool to control that the personnel working with the drill core is observing the same fracture/structure as the personnel delineating the fracture trace in the acoustic televiewer image, especially in intervals rich in fractures.

The importance of orientating broken fractures that are not visible in the acoustic televiewer images is highlighted by the fact that a high percentage of these fractures are not visible in the acoustic televiewer images.

4.2.4 Definition of veins versus dikes

Veins and dykes were differentiated by the width; veins having 0–20 cm width and dykes having 20–100 cm width. Since the maximum width of *rock occurrences* is 100 cm wider dykes are mapped as *rock types.*

Veins within composite dykes were not mapped separately.

4.2.5 Use of mineral codes

Mineral codes have been used as follows:

- X1 Yellowish green and soft mineral possibly clay, zeolite or mixture of both.
- X6 The drill core is broken at right angle to the drill core and the broken surfaces have a polished appearance. This is believed to indicate a sealed fracture that broke up during drilling and where the two drill core parts has rotated against each other wearing away the mineral fill.
- X7 Broken fracture with a fresh appearance and no mineral fill.
- X8 Fractures with epidotized walls.
- X9 Sealed fractures visible in the acoustic televiewer image but not in the drill core.

4.3 Data handling

The mapping was performed on-line on SKB:s network, in order to obtain the best possible data security. Before every break (exceeding 15 minutes) a back-up was saved on the local disk.

The mapping was quality checked by a routine in Boremap before it was exported to and archived in SICADA. Personnel from SKB also performed spot test controls and regular quality revisions.

All primary data are stored in the SKB SICADA database under field note number Simpevarp 258. Only these data are to be used for further interpretation and modelling.

5 Results

The results of the Boremap mapping of KLX02 are principally found in the Appendices. The information in SICADA has been compressed to the size of an A4-sheet in the Geological Summary table, Appendix 1. The search paths for this table are presented in Appendix 2. Stereographic diagrams of the orientation of open fractures are presented in Appendix 3, while examples of the acoustic televiewer images of KLX02 are shown in Appendix 4 and the WellCad diagram in Appendix 5. In data, like borehole length and diameter, are presented in Appendices 6, 7 and 8.

5.1 Geological summary table, general description

The Geological Summary table (see Appendix 1) is an easy to read overview of the geological parameters mapped with the Boremap system. It also facilitates comparisons between Boremap information collected from different boreholes and is more objective than a pure descriptive summary of a borehole.

This Geological Summary table is the result of cooperation between Jan Ehrenborg from the mapping personnel in Oskarshamn and Pär Kinnbom from PO (site investigation, Oskarshamn). The aim was to make a standard form in handy A4-size, where all information is taken directly from the SICADA database by using simple and well defined search paths for each geological parameter (see Appendix 2).

The search paths cannot, however, yet be used in an automatic way and therefore the geological information has first been extracted from the SICADA database, then reworked on separate Excel-files and last presented in the Geological Summary table. At the moment it is only possible to extract the Rock Type and Alteration parameters directly from the SICADA database.

The main reason why the information in the SICADA database cannot be extracted automatically is the lack of a mathematical formula to calculate frequencies for different parameters. Such a formula will be added.

The need to rework the SICADA information on separate Excel-files exists because some information is written in the Comment field for individual observations in Boremap and therefore has to be extracted manually. This problem is also being dealt with.

The Geological summary table is made up of 23 columns, each one representing a specific geological parameter. The geological parameters are presented as either intervals or frequencies. Intervals are calculated for parameters with a width ≥ 1 m and frequencies for parameters with a width ≤ 1 m. Frequency information is treated as if it does not have any extension along the borehole axis. They are treated as point observations. It should be noted that parameters with a thickness of only 1 mm therefore has the same "value" as a similar parameter with a thickness of 999 mm, since both are treated as point observations and used for frequency calculations.

5.1.1 Columns in the geological summary table

The geological summary table includes the following 23 columns:

Column 1. *Rock Type/Lithology* is an interval column. Only lithologies longer than 1 m are presented here. Shorter lithologies are presented in column 6. This column is identical with the WellCad presentation.

Column 2. *Rock Type/Grain size* is an interval column. Interval limits follows column 1. This column is identical with the WellCad presentation.

Column 3. *Rock Type/Texture* is an interval column. Interval limits follows column 1. This column is identical with the WellCad presentation.

Column 4. *Alteration/oxidation* is an interval column. No frequency column is presented for alteration/oxidation. The alteration/oxidation column is identical with the WellCad presentation.

Column 5. *Alteration/intensity* is an interval column. This column is identical with the WellCad presentation.

Column 6. *Rock Occurrence/Veins + Dykes < 1 m wide* is a frequency column. This rock type column can be seen as the frequency complement to the rock type/lithology interval column. Only rock type sections narrower than 1 m can be described as rock occurrences in Boremap. Thicker rock type sections are mapped as rock type.

Column 7. *Structure/Shear Zone < 1 m wide* is a frequency column. This column includes ductile shear structures as well as brittle-ductile shear structures. These are mapped as rock occurrences in Boremap. Ductile sections in mm–cm scale are mapped as shear structures and in dm–m scale as sections with foliation.

Column 8. *Structure/Brecciated < 1 m wide* is a frequency column. Breccias < 1 m wide are mapped under rock occurrence in Boremap. Very narrow micro breccias along sealed/ natural fracture planes are generally not considered.

Column 9. *Structure/Brecciated* ≥ 1 *m* wide is an interval column. Breccias > 1 m wide are mapped under rock type/structure in Boremap.

Column 10. *Structure/Mylonite < 1 m wide* is a frequency column. Mylonites < 1 m wide are mapped under rock occurrence/structure in Boremap.

Column 11. *Structure/Mylonite* ≥ 1 *m wide* is an interval column. Mylonites > 1 m wide are mapped under rock type/structure in Boremap.

Column 12. *Structure/Foliation < 1 m wide* is a frequency column. Sections with foliation < 1 m wide are mapped under rock occurrence/structure in Boremap. Very thin sections with foliation are called ductile shear structures and presented in column 7.

Column 13. *Structure/Foliation* ≥ 1 *m wide* is an interval column. Sections with foliation > 1 m wide are mapped under rock type/structure in Boremap.

Column 14. *Sealed fractures (interpreted)/All* is a frequency column. This column includes all fractures interpreted as sealed with the Boremap system. It includes sealed fractures where the drill core is not broken as well as sealed fractures interpreted to have broken up artificially during/after drilling.

Column 15. *Sealed fractures (interpreted)/Broken fractures with aperture = 0* is a frequency column. This column includes sealed fractures interpreted to have broken up artificially during/after drilling.

Column 16. *Sealed fractures (interpreted)/Sealed Fracture Network < 1 m wide* is a frequency column. The sealed fracture network parameter is the only parameter that is generally evaluated directly from observations of the drill core. These types of sealed fractures can only in rare cases be observed in the acoustic televiewer image.

Column 17. *Sealed fractures (interpreted)/Sealed Fracture Network ≥ 1 m wide* is an interval column.

Column 18. *Open fractures (interpreted)/All Aperture > 0* is a frequency column. This column includes all open fractures, both fractures that with certainty were open before drilling and fractures that probably or possibly were open before drilling.

Column 19. *Open fractures (interpreted)/Uncertain, Aperture = 0.5 probable + 0.5* possible is a frequency column. This column includes fractures that probably or possibly open before drilling.

Column 20. *Open fractures (interpreted)/Certain Aperture = 0.5 certain and > 0.5* is a frequency column. This column includes fractures that with certainty were open before drilling.

Column 21. *Open fractures (interpreted)/Joint alteration > 1.5* is a frequency column. This column show fractures with stronger joint alteration than normal. This parameter generally goes hand in hand with the location of lithologies with a more weathered appearance.

Column 22. *Open fractures (interpreted)/Crush < 1 m wide* is a frequency column. This column includes shorter sections with crush.

Column 23. *Open fractures (interpreted)/Crush* ≥ 1 *m wide* is an interval column. This column includes longer sections with crush.

5.2 Geological summary table, KLX02

The Geological Summary table for KLX02 is presented in Appendix 1. All length information in this chapter is taken from the Geological Summary table and therefore includes an error of 5–10 m. Only the interval 200–1005 m was mapped.

The lithology in KLX02 is made up of Ävrö granite cut by fine-grained dioritoid and diorite-gabbro veins and dykes. Sparsely occurring granite and pegmatite veins are more common at deeper levels in KLX02.

Structures are rare except for a weak foliation that penetrates the Ävrö granite almost continuously in the interval 340–800 m. The strongest intensity is found at 750 m.

Sealed fractures (interpreted) have a very low fracture frequency throughout KLX02.

The fracture frequency for open fractures (interpreted) is low in the interval 200–750 m and higher in the interval 750–1000 m. The peak for the open fracture (interpreted) frequency lies in the interval 855–875 m.

No marked weakness section is observed in KLX02. Peaks in the fracture frequency for open fractures (interpreted) at 275 m, 470 m and 1000 m, however, indicate shorter and less pronounced weakness sections since these peaks occur together with oxidized sections and have higher joint alteration numbers. Shorter sections with crush occur together with the peaks at 470 m and 1000 m.

Oxidized sections, veins and dykes, sealed fracture network, open fractures and sections with crush occur more frequently below 800 m in KLX02.

5.3 Orientation of open fractures

Stereograms for open fractures for each 100 m interval in KLX02 are presented in Appendix 3. The stereographic information is from plane to pole plot data. Fracture orientation values are strike/dip values using the right hand rule.

The orientation for borehole KLX02 at ground level is 357/-85.

Open fractures not visible in the acoustic televiewer image were mapped as planes at right angle to the borehole. These fractures show up as a small artificial semicircular high anomaly maximum at right angle to the borehole in the stereographic plots. It should be noted that the location of this artificial maximum varies with depth according to the deviation of the borehole.

There is a general strong overrepresentation of open fractures cutting the borehole at high angles compared to fractures cutting the borehole at low angles. This results in artificially high anomaly values for fractures cutting the borehole at high angles and in distortion of anomaly shapes in the stereographic plots. These distortions show up as a tendency for anomalies to obtain a semi circular shape, effects that are stronger the longer the plotted depth interval. It is therefore not recommended to plot intervals longer than 100 m in the same stereogram.

The stereographic diagrams for KLX02 give a scattered impression. Fractures are not orientated in rather well defined fracture sets as in other boreholes at the Oskarshamn site investigation. Approximately 4–8 weakly developed maxima are the rule in KLX02 instead of few dominating maxima as exemplified by fractures with N-NNW-striking fractures. No strong stereographic maximum that can be followed for a longer interval occur in KLX02. It is difficult to follow specific fracture orientations (fracture sets) throughout the borehole. A stereographic maximum at one depth can, further on, disappear and then suddenly turn up again in a deeper interval. It is noticeable that no pronounced maximum exist at 800–1000 m.

A weakly developed WNW-NW-striking fracture set with moderate to steep dip (35–80° dip) occurs from 200–700 m.

Fractures with NW-striking orientations are almost lacking while another weakly developed N-NNW-striking fracture set with moderate to steep dip (50–80° dip) occur at 200–400 m, 500–600 m, 700–800 m. This set is, however, not visible at 400–500 m and 600–700 m.

Fractures with NNE-NE-ENE orientations are rare although a NE-striking fracture set with moderate dip (40–75° dip) occur at 200–400 m and 500–600 m. This fracture set is lacking at 400–500 m and is best developed at 500–600 m.

A weakly developed E-striking fracture set with low to steep dip (20–70° dip) is observed from 200 m to 800 m.

Fractures striking SE-SSE with moderate dip (25–65° dip) occur at 200–700 m. These fractures are gathered in two maximum dipping 40 and 60° at 200–300 m, in one maximum at $300-400$ m, in two maximum at $400-500$ m and one maximum at $500-700$ m.

An ESE-striking group of fractures with low dip (35° dip) occur at 700–800 m.

No S-striking fracture set occur in KLX02.

A SSW-striking rather well developed fracture set with steep dip (60–80° dip) occur at 300–400 m, almost disappear at 400–500 m and is visible again at 500–800 m.

A WSW-striking fracture set with steep dip (75° dip) is observed only at 500–600 m.

6 Discussion

Fractures not visible in the acoustic televiewer images were, if possible, mapped using "the guide-line method". When this method could not be used, for example, because a guiding structure was lacking, these fractures were mapped as if they lay 90° towards the borehole.

The sealed fracture network parameter in Boremap did still not function satisfactorily and sections with sealed fracture network were written in the comment to a broken or unbroken fracture observation close to the upper limit of these sections.

In December 2003 a new way to estimate apertures was introduced. Fractures with apertures in KLX02 were re-mapped in accordance with these directives ("Nomenklatur vid Boremapkartering" by Larsson and Stråhle, PM, 2004-02-05) using only the drill core since fracture traces in the acoustic televiewer image do not show shadow effects along them.

Geophysical logs were available for KLX02 and helped solving some lithological problems. These logs included silicate density, magnetic susceptibility and natural gamma radiation.

Geological summary table, KLX02

TABLE HEAD LINES INFORMATION SOURCE INFORMATION SOURCE INFORMATION Head lines Sub head lines Database Varcode First suborder Second suborder Interval/frequence Rock type |Lithology |SICADA | 5 |Sub 1 |Interval Grain size SICADA 5 Sub 5 Interval **Texture** SICADA 5 Sub 6 Interval **Alterationn |**Oxidation |SICADA | 7 |Sub 1 = 700 | |Interval \textsf{SICADA} 7 $\textsf{Sub 2 = 101}$ and $\textsf{102 = weak}$ 1 7 $\text{Sub } 2 = 103$ and $104 = \text{strong}$ **Rock occurrence**Vein + dyke SICADA 31 Sub 1 = 2 and 18 Frequence **Structure**Shear zone **SICADA** SICADA 31 Sub 1 = 0 Sub 4 = 41 and 42 Frequence Brecciated, < 1m wide SICADA | 31 Sub 1 = 0 Sub 4 = 7 Frequence Brecciated, $>$ /= 1m wide $\overline{}$ SICADA $\overline{}$ 5 Sub 3 = 7 $\overline{}$ Sub 4; 101 and 102 = weak linterval 5 $\text{Sub } 3 = 7$ Sub 4; 103 and 104 = strong Interval Mylonite, < 1 m wide SICADA 31 Sub 1 = 0 Sub 4 = 34 Frequence Mylonite, $>1 = 1$ m wide \blacksquare SICADA \blacksquare SISub 3 = 34 Sub 4: 101 and 102 = weak Interval 5 $\text{Sub } 3 = 34$ Sub 4; 103 and 104 = strong Interval Foliation zone, < 1 m wide SICADA 31 Sub 1 = 0 Sub 4 = 81 Frequence Foliation zone, >1 m wide $\overline{}$ SICADA $\overline{}$ 5 Sub 3 = 81 $\overline{}$ Sub 4; 101 and 102 = weak linterval 5 $\text{Sub } 3 = 81$ Sub 4; 103 and 104 = strong Interval **Sealed fracture**All sealed fractures **SICADA** 3 All **3 SICADA** 3 All **Frequence** add broken sealed fractures SICADA | 2 SNUM 11= 0 Frequence Sealed (broken) fractures SICADA | 2 SNum 11 = 0 | Frequence Sealed fracture network < 1 m wide SICADA 32 Frequence Sealed fracture network>/=1m wide SICADA | 32 | 32 | Interval **Open fractures** All, Aperture > 0 SICADA 2 SNum 11=/>0.5 and 11=/>0.5 Frequence Uncertain, Aperture = 0.5 possible $\left|\frac{\text{SICADA}}{\text{SICADA}}\right|$ 2 $\left|\frac{\text{SND }12}{\text{SND }25}\right|$ $\left|\frac{\text{SUD }12}{\text{SND }25}\right|$ $\left|\frac{\text{SUD }12}{\text{SND }25}\right|$ and 0.5 probable \blacksquare SICADA 2 SNum 11=0.5 \blacksquare Sub 12 = 2 \blacksquare Frequence $\frac{1}{2}$ Certain, Aperture = 0.5 certain SICADA 2 $\frac{2}{SN}$ 11=0.5 Sub 12 = 1 Frequence and > 0.5 $SICADA$ 2 SNum 11> 0.5 Sub 12 = 1 and 2 and 3 Frequence Joint alteration > 1.5SICADA 2SNum16 > 1.5 $Crush < 1 m wide$ SICADA 4 Frequence Crush >/= 1 m wide**SICADA** A I 4 Interval

Search paths for the geological summary table

Stereographic projections of open fractures, KLX02

KLX02 200-300 m (91 fractures) KLX02 300-400 m (79 fractures)

KLX02 400-500 m (143 fractures) KLX02 500-600 m (128 fractures)

Stereograms of poles to planes of open fractures with aperture in borehole KLX02, Schmidt's Net, lower hemisphere.

Ņ 1 % 2 % 3 % $\overline{\bigcirc}$ 4 % 5 % 6 %

KLX02 600-700 m (165 fractures) KLX02 700-800 m (259 fractures)

KLX02 800-900 m (644 fractures) KLX02 900-1005 m (594 fractures)

Stereograms of poles to planes of open fractures with aperture in borehole KLX02, Schmidt's Net, lower hemisphere.

Examples of acoustic televiewer images of KLX02

Examples of acoustic televiewer images from KLX02. Fractures can be seen as dark sinus curves in the image. Some can be easily traced in the image, while others are not so clearly discernible.

Examples of acoustic televiewer images from KLX02. In the image to the left reference marks can be observed as well as fractures, while the rock occurrence at 500.4 m cannot be observed. The image to the right shows some defects with light vertical bands of unknown origin.

WellCad diagram of KLX02

In data: Borehole length and diameter for KLX02

Hole Diam T - Drilling: Borehole diameter

KLX02, 1992-08-15 - 1992-09-05 (0.000 - 202.950 m)

Printout from SICADA 2004-03-18 14:49:53.

Hole Diam T - Drilling: Borehole diameter

KLX02, 1992-10-15 - 1992-11-29 (202.950 - 1700.500 m)

Printout from SICADA 2004-03-18 14:52:38.

In data: Deviation data for KLX02 In deta: Deviation deta for *VI* V00

Boremac T - Borehole orientation: Boremac

KLX02, 1993-07-21 (0.000 - 1440.000 m)

Printout from SICADA 2004-03-18 14:48:19.

In data: Reference marks for length adjustments for KLX02

Reference Mark T - Reference mark in drillhole

KLX02, 2001-03-20 14:03:00 - 2001-03-22 17:03:00 (220.000 - 1600.000 m)

Printout from SICADA 2004-03-18 14:47:08.