

## ARTICLE OPEN



# Oxidative dissolution of Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub> nuclear fuel

Hannah Smith<sup>1</sup>, Théo Cordara<sup>1</sup>, Clémence Gausse<sup>1</sup>, Sarah E. Pepper<sup>1</sup> and Claire L. Corkhill<sup>1</sup>✉

Alternative UO<sub>2</sub> nuclear fuels, incorporating Cr as a dopant, are currently in use in light-water reactors. Dissolution experiments using Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub>, performed as a function of Cr content in a simplified groundwater solution and under oxic conditions, established that the addition of Cr to the UO<sub>2</sub> matrix systematically reduced the normalised dissolution rate of U at 25 and 40 °C. This effect was most notable under dilute solution conditions, and is the result of galvanic coupling between Cr and U, resulting from the presence of Cr<sup>2+</sup> in the UO<sub>2</sub> matrix, as corroborated by activation energy determination. Under conditions of solution saturation, where schoepite ((UO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>8</sub>O<sub>2</sub>(OH)<sub>12</sub>·(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>12</sub>) and Na<sub>2</sub>U<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O were identified as secondary phases, the rate of U dissolution was invariant with Cr content. Moreover, at 60 °C, the trend was reversed and the rate of U dissolution increased with increasing Cr content. Under these conditions, other factors, including U solubility or bicarbonate-surface interactions, exert a stronger influence on the U dissolution kinetics than Cr. Increased grain size, a feature of Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub> fuel, was also found to reduce the normalised dissolution rate of U. In establishing the mechanisms by which Cr dopants influence UO<sub>2</sub> fuel dissolution, it can be concluded that, overall, Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub> nuclear fuel possesses similar dissolution kinetics to undoped UO<sub>2</sub> fuel, giving confidence for its eventual disposal in a geological facility.

npj Materials Degradation (2023)7:25; <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41529-023-00347-4>

## INTRODUCTION

The current option for the final disposal of spent UO<sub>2</sub> nuclear fuel is a burial in an engineered geological disposal facility. This will consist of a multi-barrier containment system, designed for the retention of radionuclides and mitigation against radioactive elements reaching the biosphere. While engineered to promote minimal degradation, there is expected to be a point in time at which groundwater will reach spent fuel and facilitate dissolution processes.

The dissolution of spent UO<sub>2</sub> fuel has been well established through many years of investigation of dissolution under anoxic, reducing and oxic conditions, e.g. refs. 1–3. For the latter, dissolution rates are quoted to range from between 1 and 7 mg m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, with the highest rates obtained in the presence of carbonate ions due to the propensity of U<sup>6+</sup> to complex with CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> to form soluble species<sup>3,4</sup>. However, the development and use of alternative UO<sub>2</sub> fuels, adapted by doping with elements such as Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> to enhance in-reactor performance<sup>5–7</sup>, necessitates further investigation to understand whether dissolution rates are influenced by doping.


Although complex in final composition and microstructure post-fission<sup>4</sup>, dissolution of the UO<sub>2</sub> matrix is understood to be the rate-determining step of spent fuel degradation, which proceeds via the oxidation of U<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>2</sub> to soluble U<sup>6+</sup>O<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup> species. This mechanism is governed by the availability of oxygen to diffuse through the lattice, enhanced by the presence of oxygen vacancies (O<sub>v</sub>)<sup>8</sup>. In the new alternative nuclear fuel, Cr-UO<sub>2</sub>, it has been shown that Cr doping of UO<sub>2</sub> results in the substitution of Cr<sup>2+</sup> onto U<sup>4+</sup> sites in the lattice, with the concurrent formation of O<sub>v</sub> and U<sup>5+</sup> to form a compound with a stoichiometry of  $(U_{1-x}^{4+}U_x^{5+})_{1-y}Cr_y^{2+}O_{2-\frac{y}{2}}$ <sup>9</sup>. As such, the presence of O<sub>v</sub> and U<sup>5+</sup> defect species should tend to increase the oxidative dissolution of doped UO<sub>2</sub>, which may reduce durability in a geological disposal facility when compared with conventional, undoped, UO<sub>2</sub> fuel types.

However, a recent study focused on Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub> dissolution behaviour indicated the opposite behaviour, that the presence of Cr decreased the dissolution rate of U relative to pure UO<sub>2</sub><sup>10</sup>. The authors postulated that the high pH conditions of their study (cementitious water, pH 13.5 and bicarbonate water, pH 9) caused the surface of Cr-UO<sub>2</sub> to be more resistant to oxidation than UO<sub>2</sub>, or it otherwise prevented the release of U<sup>6+</sup> species<sup>10</sup>. A slower rate of U dissolution compared with undoped UO<sub>2</sub> was also reported for the dissolution of commercially fabricated Cr/Al-doped UO<sub>2</sub> under oxidative conditions (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), although it was stated that the behaviour may be due to differences in the surface area between samples, rather than the presence of Cr<sup>11</sup>. These authors were unable to establish a mechanism to satisfactorily explain why the addition of Cr influenced the UO<sub>2</sub> behaviour.

It may be possible to partly explain these observations by reference to other doped systems, for example, a reduction in U dissolution rate compared to pure UO<sub>2</sub> was also observed for trivalent Gd<sup>3+</sup>-doped UO<sub>2</sub><sup>12–15</sup>. At room temperature and in bicarbonate solution (pH 7–8.5), the dissolution rate of U was an order of magnitude lower for Gd-doped UO<sub>2</sub>, while a drop of almost two orders of magnitude was observed at 50 and 75 °C, thought to confirm a 'matrix stabilisation effect' of Gd in UO<sub>2</sub><sup>15</sup>. It was postulated that stabilisation was conferred by a decrease in the degree of UO<sub>2</sub> oxidation (U<sup>4+</sup>O<sub>2</sub> to U<sup>4+/5+</sup><sub>4</sub>O<sub>9</sub>) due to the substitution of U<sup>4+</sup> cations for Gd<sup>3+</sup> and potential formation of MO<sub>8</sub>-type defect clusters<sup>11,14</sup>. The same behaviour was observed for Dy<sup>3+</sup>-doped UO<sub>2</sub><sup>15,16</sup>. MO<sub>8</sub>-type defect clusters have not been reported for Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub>, therefore, another mechanism must exist.

The addition of Cr to UO<sub>2</sub> fuel is known to result in an enlarged grain size when compared to standard UO<sub>2</sub><sup>5–7</sup>. Grain boundaries have been shown to influence the dissolution behaviour of UO<sub>2</sub> and spent fuel analogue materials including CeO<sub>2</sub> and ThO<sub>2</sub> and Ln-doped CeO<sub>2</sub><sup>17–24</sup>. Assessment of the transformation of grain boundaries during dissolution found that these features contribute significantly to the release of U (or U analogue element). It

<sup>1</sup>NucleUS Immobilisation Science Laboratory, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK. ✉email: c.corkhill@sheffield.ac.uk



**Fig. 1** Microstructural changes and measured Cr content in Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub>. **a** Grain size as a function of Cr content; **b** the measured Cr content of Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub> sintered at different temperatures (1400–1700 °C); and **c** Archimedes density. Error bars represent one standard deviation of triplicate measurements.

was hypothesised that the concentration of O<sub>v</sub> defects at grain boundaries creates high-energy reactive surface sites for dissolution to initiate<sup>18</sup>. This suggests that a decrease in the quantity of grain boundaries, which is associated with a larger grain size, as observed for Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub>, could reduce the number of energetically reactive sites and, thus, reduce dissolution rates.

To establish the influence of Cr as a dopant on the dissolution kinetics of UO<sub>2</sub>, and to underpin the mechanisms that govern U release from Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub>, semi-static oxid dissolution experiments in a simplified bicarbonate groundwater solution were performed. Two possible mechanisms were evaluated: (1) Cr-induced changes to the crystal chemistry and (2) microstructure. For (1), materials were prepared using a range of Cr-dopant concentrations, above and below the solubility limit of Cr in UO<sub>2</sub>, and the dissolution kinetics of U were determined as a function of Cr content and dissolution temperature. These materials were prepared such that there was a fixed grain size, to enable isolation of the chemical effects of Cr on the dissolution rate from those of the microstructure. For (2), materials were prepared at different sintering temperatures, producing pellets with varying grain size, to quantify the extent to which microstructure influences the dissolution of Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub>.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Effect of Cr concentration on the dissolution of UO<sub>2</sub>


Pellets sintered at 1700 °C were used to study the effect of Cr content and dissolution temperature on the dissolution rate of U, independently from microstructure effects.

The microstructure and defect chemistry of the Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub> materials utilised in the dissolution experiments was detailed fully by Smith et al.<sup>9</sup>. Briefly, for all concentrations of Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub>, the sintered pellets presented a homogenous distribution of Cr<sup>2+</sup> within the UO<sub>2</sub> matrix, charge compensated by O<sub>v</sub> defects. Above the solubility limit of Cr within UO<sub>2</sub> (700–1200 ppm)<sup>25–28</sup>, precipitates of Cr were observed to reside within grain boundaries, hypothesised to be Cr<sup>3+</sup><sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub><sup>9,29</sup>. When sintered at 1700 °C, the presence of these precipitates in Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub> resulted in a reduction in grain size when compared to undoped UO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 1a), due to the grain boundary pinning effect of precipitates, which inhibit diffusion and grain growth<sup>30</sup>.

The intentional absence of large grains (>30 µm), as observed in industrially synthesised Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub><sup>6</sup>, is a direct result of sintering in a fully reducing atmosphere; it is well understood that a controlled oxygen potential of the sintering atmosphere can promote grain growth in Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub> materials<sup>26</sup>. Indeed, the grain size did not vary significantly with Cr content when sintered at 1700 °C (Fig. 1a). Complete digest of the pellets sintered at 1700 °C showed that the Cr content was below the expected, nominal, Cr concentration (Fig. 1b), which is attributed to volatilisation at the high sintering temperature<sup>9,31,32</sup>.

The normalised mass loss of U, N<sub>L(U)</sub> (g m<sup>-2</sup>), at 25, 40 and 60 °C as a function of time (Fig. 2a–c) exhibited several distinct regimes of normalised dissolution rate, R<sub>L(U)</sub> (g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>), as shown in Figs. 3, 4. The interpretation of these regimes was guided predominantly by the concentration, in mol L<sup>-1</sup>, of U leached from undoped UO<sub>2</sub>, which is reported to reach a solubility limit with respect to schoepite, of around 10<sup>-5</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup>, in saline, carbonate-containing solutions under oxid conditions<sup>33</sup>. The presence (or absence) of secondary phases was also used to support the identification of the regimes, as discussed later. The common regimes for all temperatures were interpreted as being: (1) R<sub>L,iv</sub>, reflecting an initial dissolution rate where solution conditions were dilute and saturation effects were not observed, i.e. where U concentrations were ~10<sup>-7</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3a, b); (2) R<sub>L,iv</sub>, describing a transitional stage of dissolution where the effects of solution saturation commenced and the dissolution rate of U began to decrease, i.e. where U concentrations were ~10<sup>-6</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 3c, d); and (3) R<sub>L,ss</sub> where the thermodynamic, solubility-related effects of elements in solution were observed (steady state), i.e. where U concentrations were ~10<sup>-5</sup> mol L<sup>-1</sup> and a plateau, or drop, in N<sub>L(U)</sub> was observed (Fig. 4a).

For Cr-doped materials dissolved at 25 °C only, an additional regime, R<sub>L,gb</sub>, where the normalised dissolution rate of U increased



**Fig. 2** Normalised mass loss of U ( $N_L(U)$ ) from Cr-doped  $UO_2$  dissolved in bicarbonate solution. Data derived from dissolution experiments performed at **a** 25 °C, **b** 40 °C and **c** 60 °C, using materials sintered at 1700 °C. Error bars represent one standard deviation of triplicate measurements.



at later time points (Figs. 2a, 4b) was observed. Dissolution of  $UO_2$  in acidic media has attributed this apparent increase in dissolution to an increase in the surface area associated with the dissolution of grain boundaries<sup>23,34–36</sup>. Table 1 details the time period (days) of each regime for materials dissolved at each temperature, Table 2 gives the absolute dissolution rate values in  $g\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  and Supplementary Table 1 in  $mol\ L^{-1}\ d^{-1}$ .

### 25 °C experiment

The normalised dissolution rate of U decreased with increasing Cr content in  $UO_2$  dissolved at 25 °C, in both the  $R_{L,i}$  and  $R_{L,t}$  regimes

(Fig. 3a, b). For example,  $R_{L,i} = (2.12 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}\ g\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  for undoped  $UO_2$  and  $(1.31 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}\ g\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  for 1490 ppm Cr-doped  $UO_2$ . The corresponding dissolution rates in the  $R_{L,t}$  regime were  $(1.10 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}\ g\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  and  $(0.39 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}\ g\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  for undoped and Cr-doped  $UO_2$ , respectively (Table 2). From these results, it is apparent that the presence of Cr in  $UO_2$ , in solid solution with the  $UO_2$  matrix and/or at present as precipitates at grain boundaries, influences the dissolution behaviour of U.

Since  $UO_2$  dissolution is dependent on the oxidation of  $U^{4+}$  to soluble  $U^{6+}$  species, especially under the oxic conditions of the present study, it is hypothesised that preferential oxidation of  $Cr^{2+}/Cr^{3+}$  allows U to maintain a reduced state through the action of a galvanic coupling effect, according to the simplified form of the redox couples in Eqs. 1 and 2.



Clearly, the  $Cr^{3+}$ -induced reduction of  $U^{6+}$  to  $U^{4+}$  is thermodynamically unfavourable (Eq. 2), meaning that the presence of  $Cr^{2+}$  species in the  $UO_2$  matrix is responsible for the decreased U dissolution rate. Increased  $Cr^{2+}$  content as a function of Cr doping would explain why the galvanic coupling effect is most pronounced in the more highly Cr-doped  $UO_2$ , in the  $R_{L,i}$  and  $R_{L,t}$  regimes.


During the latter stages of the dissolution experiment, in the solubility-controlled  $R_{L,ss}$  regime, a steady state was reached for U leached from Cr-doped  $UO_2$  at 25 °C (Fig. 2a). Importantly, in this steady state regime, there appeared to be no significant difference in the normalised dissolution rate of U as a function of Cr content (Fig. 4a). However, the absence of steady-state conditions for the undoped  $UO_2$  signifies an effect of Cr on the thermodynamics of U dissolution.

Since no Cr was measured in solution, it is assumed that sufficient  $Cr^{2+}$  remained within the doped  $UO_2$  to promote the galvanic coupling effect during the earlier regimes of dissolution; however, this process clearly no longer controlled the dissolution rate for the  $R_{L,ss}$  and  $R_{L,gb}$  regimes (Fig. 4a, b, respectively). It is possible that, at later time points, the  $Cr^{2+}$  involved in this mechanism was fully oxidised and, therefore, had no further potential for galvanic coupling. Alternatively, solution saturation of U may have hindered further dissolution. The measured molar concentration of U in the  $R_{L,ss}$  regime was  $\sim 0.6\text{--}1.8 \times 10^{-6}\ mol\ L^{-1}$  (Supporting Information, Fig. 1), which is below, but close to, the solubility limit of schoepite, reported to be around  $10^{-5}\ mol\ L^{-1}$  under similar conditions<sup>33,37</sup>. As such, the solution was close to saturation with respect to U-containing secondary phases, reducing the thermodynamic driver for further dissolution of U. It was not possible to identify any secondary phases precipitated at the surface of the 25 °C pellets, even after 761 days of dissolution; therefore, the former explanation, that  $Cr^{2+}$  was fully oxidised, is tentatively favoured.

Beyond 616 days of dissolution at 25 °C, the role of grain boundary dissolution increased the U dissolution rate from  $\sim 10^{-4}\ g\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  in the  $R_{L,ss}$  regime to  $\sim 10^{-3}\ g\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  in the  $R_{L,gb}$  regime (Fig. 4b and Table 2).

### 40 °C experiment

The normalised dissolution rate of U, at 40 °C, in the  $R_{L,i}$  (Fig. 3c) regime also decreased as a function of Cr content, from  $(4.15 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{-3}\ g\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  to  $(2.56 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}\ g\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  for undoped  $UO_2$  and the highest Cr-doped  $UO_2$  material, respectively. Similar behaviour was observed in the  $R_{L,t}$  regime (Fig. 3d), with rates of  $(2.45 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}\ g\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  for undoped  $UO_2$  and  $(1.44 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}\ g\ m^{-2}\ d^{-1}$  for the highest concentration of Cr-dopant. It follows that the galvanic coupling effect of  $Cr^{2+}$  in  $UO_2$ , as described for the 25 °C experiment, also occurs at 40 °C.



**Fig. 3** Normalised dissolution rates of U of Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  dissolved in bicarbonate solution in the initial ( $R_{L,i}$ ) and transitional ( $R_{L,t}$ ) regimes. Data derived from dissolution experiments performed at **a, b** 25 °C, **c, d** 40 °C and **e, f** 60 °C, using materials sintered at 1700 °C. Error bars represent one standard deviation of triplicate measurements.

A steady-state regime was reached for all experiments at 40 °C after 442 days (Table 2), where the  $R_{L,ss}$  was  $(0.03 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3} \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  for  $\text{UO}_2$  and  $(0.74 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3} \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$  for 147 ppm Cr. The molarity values of U ( $3.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$  and  $3.4 \times 10^{-6} \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ , respectively) were close to the solubility limit of U in saline, bicarbonate solution under oxic conditions, meaning that the solution was close to saturation with respect to U-containing secondary phases. The absolute normalised dissolution rate of U for  $\text{UO}_2$  doped with higher concentrations of Cr were negative, concurrent with the precipitation of U-bearing secondary phases, identified via SEM imaging of the material surfaces post-dissolution (Fig. 5).


### 60 °C experiment

At 60 °C, the undoped  $\text{UO}_2$  exhibited a lower  $N_L(\text{U})$  than the Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  (Fig. 2c) and the reverse trend in normalised dissolution rate of U was found for  $R_{L,i}$  (Fig. 3e) and  $R_{L,t}$  (Fig. 3f) when compared with 25 and 40 °C, i.e. the dissolution of U increased with increasing Cr content. It has previously been shown that bicarbonate-promoted dissolution of  $\text{UO}_2$ , where bicarbonate ligands bind to the initially oxidised  $\text{UO}_2$  surface, is strongly dependent on temperature, with dissolution rates increasing in the range of 10 to 60 °C<sup>38</sup>. This is because the activation energy of  $\text{UO}_2$  surface oxidation is greater than that of the surface attachment of the bicarbonate ligand<sup>38</sup>. Therefore, given the higher temperature of this particular experiment, we



postulate that the role of bicarbonate in the dissolution process is more significant than the galvanic coupling effect of  $\text{Cr}^{2+}$ .

Calculations of the apparent activation energy ( $E_a$ ) (see method section for details), determined for undoped and Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  at 25, 40 and 60 °C using the  $R_{L,i}$  dissolution rates are shown in



**Fig. 4** Normalised dissolution rates of U of Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  dissolved in bicarbonate solution at 25 °C. Showing **a** the steady state ( $R_{L,ss}$ ) and **b** the grain boundary-influenced ( $R_{L,gb}$ ) regimes. Data were acquired using materials sintered at 1700 °C. Error bars represent one standard deviation of triplicate measurements.

Fig. 6a. In agreement with published data for  $\text{UO}_2$  dissolution in bicarbonate solution, the undoped  $\text{UO}_2$  gave an  $E_a$  value of  $49.40 \pm 0.04$  kJ  $\text{mol}^{-1}$ , consistent with a dissolution mechanism that proceeds via surface-controlled reactions<sup>38</sup>. As the Cr content is increased, the activation energy significantly increased, reaching  $(78.90 \pm 0.01)$  kJ  $\text{mol}^{-1}$  for the highest concentration of Cr-dopant. This suggests that, in the initial stage of dissolution (i.e. without the effects of solution saturation), Cr influences surface-controlled dissolution reactions, consistent with the hypothesised galvanic coupling effect, even in bicarbonate solutions.

The steady-state regime was reached after 100 days of dissolution at 60 °C for undoped  $\text{UO}_2$  and after 79–86 days for Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  (Table 1). The absolute normalised dissolution rates of U were negative (Table 2), indicating the formation of U-bearing secondary phases, which were more prominent at 60 than 40 °C and, as such, identifiable by XRD (Fig. 7). XRD diffraction patterns were consistent between duplicate pellets; therefore, only one representative pattern of each is shown.

Two distinct precipitate morphologies were identified: a plate-like phase (Figs. 8a, 9), present on all dissolved materials (doped and undoped  $\text{UO}_2$ ); and an angular chip-shaped phase (Fig. 8b), visible only at the surface of the highest concentrations of Cr dopant. EDX point analysis of each precipitate confirmed that both phases comprised U, O and Na (Figs. 8a, 9). A darker phase was also identified in some areas, containing Na and Cl (Supporting Data, Fig. 2a; Point 1). This phase appeared not to contribute to the plate-like or chip-like morphologies in EDX elemental mapping (Supporting Information, Fig. 2b) and was likely remnant NaCl from the solution.

XRD data were compared with  $\text{UO}_2$ <sup>39</sup>, and analysis of the plate-like precipitate matched with most of the reflections of hydrated Nadiuranate<sup>40</sup>,  $\text{Na}_2\text{U}_2\text{O}_7 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (Fig. 7b). This identification is tentatively corroborated by the EDX measured stoichiometry U:Na ratio of 1:1 (Table 3, taken from the precipitate layer with greatest apparent thickness). An additional reflection at  $\sim 6.7^\circ$   $2\theta$  (Fig. 7a, b; Point a), not previously published in the literature due to the restricted angular range used, corresponds to a d spacing of  $\sim 13.1$  Å, which is in agreement with the postulated structure of  $\text{Na}_2\text{U}_2\text{O}_7 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ <sup>40</sup>. Absent peaks at higher angles of  $2\theta$  (Fig. 7b; Points labelled b) can be attributed to preferred orientation arising from the flat, plate-like morphology. Reflections consistent with schoepite ( $(\text{UO}_2)_8\text{O}_2(\text{OH})_{12}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{12}$ ) were also present in  $\text{UO}_2$  doped with the highest concentration of Cr (1490 ppm Cr, Fig. 7a)<sup>41</sup>.

The apparent activation energy in the  $R_{L,ss}$  regime (Fig. 6b), where solution saturation influences the dissolution behaviour, was shown to remain within the same range as the  $E_a$  for  $R_{L,i}$  regime ( $49.60 \pm 0.01$  to  $79.70 \pm 0.01$  kJ  $\text{mol}^{-1}$ ); however, there was no clear trend between  $E_a$  and Cr content. This supports the hypothesis that at later stages of dissolution, when the solubility

**Table 1.** Time periods selected for the determination of the normalised dissolution rate regimes.


Temperature (°C)	Rate regime	Time period (days)					
		$\text{UO}_2$	147 ppm Cr	337 ppm Cr	554 ppm Cr	741 ppm Cr	1490 ppm Cr
25	$R_{L,i}$	0–133	0–133	-	0–105	0–133	0–140
	$R_{L,t}$	140–761	140–457	-	133–457	140–514	189–471
	$R_{L,ss}$	-	471–598	-	471–598	471–598	514–598
	$R_{L,gb}$	-	616–761	-	616–761	616–761	616–761
40	$R_{L,i}$	0–183	0–210	0–254	0–268	0–156	0–330
	$R_{L,t}$	198–441	226–525	268–441	300–441	170–441	416–441
	$R_{L,ss}$	442–525	442–525	442–525	442–525	442–525	442–525
60	$R_{L,i}$	0–22	0–15	0–28	0–22	0–15	0–15
	$R_{L,t}$	28–86	22–79	35–72	28–65	22–79	22–79
	$R_{L,ss}$	100–226	86–226	79–226	72–226	86–226	86–226

**Table 2.** Normalised dissolution rate of uranium ( $R_L(U)$ ) for each regime ( $\text{g m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ ).

	Temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )		
	25	40	60
$R_{L,i}$ ( $\text{g m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ )			
$\text{UO}_2$	$(2.12 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$	$(4.15 \pm 0.42) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.71 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$
147 ppm Cr	$(1.80 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$	$(3.60 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.83 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-2}$
337 ppm Cr	-	$(3.60 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.20 \pm 0.22) \times 10^{-2}$
554 ppm Cr	$(1.44 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$	$(3.13 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.46 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-2}$
741 ppm Cr	$(1.44 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.79 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.21 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-2}$
1490 ppm Cr	$(1.31 \pm 0.13) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.56 \pm 0.26) \times 10^{-3}$	$(3.10 \pm 0.31) \times 10^{-2}$
$R_{L,t}$ ( $\text{g m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ )			
$\text{UO}_2$	$(1.10 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.45 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.99 \pm 0.30) \times 10^{-3}$
147 ppm Cr	$(0.81 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.56 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.43 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$
337 ppm Cr	-	$(2.04 \pm 0.20) \times 10^{-3}$	$(2.50 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-3}$
554 ppm Cr	$(0.78 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.77 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-3}$	$(3.64 \pm 0.36) \times 10^{-3}$
741 ppm Cr	$(0.46 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.66 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{-3}$	$(3.36 \pm 0.34) \times 10^{-3}$
1490 ppm Cr	$(0.39 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$	$(1.44 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$	$(4.61 \pm 0.46) \times 10^{-3}$
$R_{L,ss}$ ( $\text{g m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ )			
$\text{UO}_2$	-	$(0.03 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{-3}$	$(-1.46 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$
147 ppm Cr	$(5.41 \pm 0.53) \times 10^{-5}$	$(0.74 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$	$(-0.64 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-3}$
337 ppm Cr	-	$(-1.57 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-3}$	$(-0.26 \pm 0.03) \times 10^{-3}$
554 ppm Cr	$(5.88 \pm 0.58) \times 10^{-5}$	$(-1.03 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$	$(-0.68 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-3}$
741 ppm Cr	$(2.25 \pm 0.23) \times 10^{-5}$	$(-2.07 \pm 0.21) \times 10^{-3}$	$(-0.18 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-3}$
1490 ppm Cr	$(3.89 \pm 0.40) \times 10^{-5}$	$(-1.47 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$	$(-1.14 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$
$R_{L,gb}$ ( $\text{g m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$ )			
$\text{UO}_2$			
147 ppm Cr	$(1.05 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-3}$	-	-
337 ppm Cr	-	-	-
554 ppm Cr	$(1.02 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$	-	-
741 ppm Cr	$(0.95 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$	-	-
1490 ppm Cr	$(0.42 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-3}$	-	-

Please see Supplementary Material Table 1 for corresponding data in  $\text{mol L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ .

$R_{L,i}$  (initial),  $R_{L,t}$  (transitional),  $R_{L,ss}$  (steady state), and  $R_{L,gb}$  (grain boundary effects).




**Fig. 5 SEM-EDX analysis of surface precipitate with plate morphology.** Acquired from a sample of 1490 ppm Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  (prepared at  $1700^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) and dissolved at  $40^{\circ}\text{C}$  in bicarbonate solution for 525 days.

limit of U in bicarbonate solution has been reached, the effect of Cr is negligible. Interestingly, the  $E_a$  remains unchanged for  $\text{UO}_2$  doped with the highest concentration of Cr, which gave an  $E_a$  of  $R_{L,i} = 74.63 \pm 0.01 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  and  $R_{L,ss} = 79.68 \pm 0.02 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . To fully understand the mechanistic behaviour, a wider range of Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  concentrations should be investigated in future.

#### Effect of grain size on the dissolution of undoped and Cr-doped $\text{UO}_2$

To investigate the role of grain size on dissolution, undoped and Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$ , prepared in a reducing atmosphere, were subject to heat treatment at different sintering temperatures of 1400, 1500, 1600, and  $1700^{\circ}\text{C}$ . A clear increase in grain size with increasing sintering temperature was observed, from  $\sim 2$ – $14 \mu\text{m}$  (Fig. 1a).


Complete digestion of these sintered materials showed that the Cr content was below the expected, nominal, Cr concentration (Fig. 1b) due to volatilisation<sup>31,32</sup>. The extent of volatilisation was much greater for materials sintered at temperatures of  $\leq 1600^{\circ}\text{C}$  when compared with those at  $1700^{\circ}\text{C}$ . It is understood that the solubility of Cr increases with increasing temperature<sup>26</sup>; therefore, at lower sintering temperatures, where less Cr is incorporated in



**Fig. 6** Apparent activation energy of undoped and Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  determined at 25, 40 and 60 °C. **a** Showing activation energies derived from rates in the initial dissolution rate regime ( $R_{L,i}$ ) and **b** During the steady-state dissolution regime ( $R_{L,ss}$ ). Error bars (smaller than data points but shown within points) represent one standard deviation of triplicate measurements.

the  $\text{UO}_2$  lattice, a greater loss due to volatilisation was observed. The greater extent of volatilisation of Cr at the lower sintering temperatures is corroborated by the measured densification rate, determined via Archimedes density measurements (Fig. 1c). The densification rate was highest for materials sintered at 1700 °C (~98%), as expected, but only reached ~91–96% in materials sintered  $\leq 1600$  °C. Since Cr incorporation into the lattice is attributed to increased densification of Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$ <sup>32</sup>, it follows that when there is less Cr in the lattice, at lower sintering temperatures, a reduced densification rate would be observed. As such, neither the Cr content (Fig. 1b), nor the density (Fig. 1c), were consistent between pellets, i.e. grain size was not the only variable during the study.

These materials were subject to dissolution in simulant groundwater solution (1 mM  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  + 19 mM  $\text{NaCl}$ ) at 25 °C for 100 days (assumed to be the  $R_{L,i}$  regime, based on data in Table 2). For materials sintered at 1700 °C, which display a high rate of densification (~97%, Fig. 1c), there is an obvious correlation between average grain size and the normalised dissolution rate of U (Fig. 10a, b). This correlation is also evident for materials sintered at lower temperatures (Fig. 10a). This result agrees with the hypothesis that a reduction in grain size, and therefore the quantity of grain boundaries, results in fewer energetically reactive surface sites for initiation of dissolution<sup>17,18</sup>. By observation of the



**Fig. 7** XRD patterns of precipitated phases. **a** XRD pattern of Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  surfaces dissolved at 60 °C in bicarbonate solution after 226 days of dissolution in comparison to diffraction patterns of  $\text{UO}_2$ ,  $\text{Na}_2\text{U}_2\text{O}_7 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and  $(\text{UO}_2)_8\text{O}_2(\text{OH})_{12} \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_{12}$  and **b** XRD pattern of isolated precipitate phase removed from the dissolved surface. Point (a) refers to the unpublished peak at low angles of  $2\theta$ , and points (b) represent absent reflections due to the preferred orientation of platy phases at the Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  surface.


gradient of  $R_L(U)$  within each set of materials sintered at the different temperatures, it is clear as the sintering temperature is increased, the change in grain size has less of an effect on the normalised dissolution rate, i.e., the gradient becomes more shallow with increasing temperatures, most probably due to the level of densification attained.

When the measured Cr content of each material is considered (Fig. 10b) at sintering temperatures of  $\leq 1600$  °C, there is no relationship between the dissolution rate and the Cr content. We postulate that variability in density between pellets may give rise to this behaviour. Further study, using Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  materials prepared under conditions designed to stimulate enhanced grain growth while ensuring constant density and Cr content, is required to fully assess the effects of each variable in complete isolation.

## METHODS

### Cr-doped and undoped $\text{UO}_2$ preparation

A wet chemical, nitrate precipitation method was used to synthesise both Cr-doped and undoped  $\text{UO}_2$  materials at the HADES National Nuclear User Facility<sup>42</sup>. Nominal concentrations




**Fig. 8 SEM images and EDX point spectra of precipitate phases observed in Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  dissolved at 60 °C in bicarbonate solution for 226 days. a** 741 ppm Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  plate-like precipitate, identified as  $\text{Na}_2\text{U}_2\text{O}_7 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and **b** 1490 ppm Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  chip-like precipitate, identified as schoepite ( $(\text{UO}_2)_8\text{O}_2(\text{OH})_{12}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{12}$ ).

of Cr dopant were chosen, above and below the proposed solubility limit of Cr in  $\text{UO}_2$  (700–1200 ppm)<sup>26–29</sup>. Uranium (VI) nitrate hexahydrate in solution ( $\text{UO}_2(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , The British Drug House (BDH), B.D.H Laboratory Chemicals Division, >98%,  $0.3 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ ) was mixed with various amounts of chromium (III) nitrate nonahydrate in solution ( $\text{Cr}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , Sigma Aldrich, 99.99%,  $1.6 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ ) and precipitated at room temperature using concentrated ammonium hydroxide solution ( $\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ , Sigma Aldrich, 28–30%  $\text{NH}_3$  in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$   $5 \text{ mol L}^{-1}$ ). The pH was monitored to reach between pH 8–10 for the successful coprecipitation of U and Cr, confirmed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Thermo Fisher iCAP Duo6300) of the supernatants, where 99.9% precipitation for each element was achieved. The yellow precipitate was washed in deionised water, vacuum filtered and dried overnight

at 90 °C to eliminate any remaining hydroxide. The resultant precursor powder was converted to oxide *via* thermal treatment at 750 °C for 4 h under a reducing (95%  $\text{N}_{2(\text{g})}$ –5%  $\text{H}_{2(\text{g})}$ ) atmosphere, followed by dry milling at 35 Hz for 15 min to increase homogeneity and powder reactivity. Pellets of 6 mm were uniaxially pressed at 2.5 tonnes using a stainless steel die, and sintered for 8 h in a reducing (95%  $\text{N}_{2(\text{g})}$ –5%  $\text{H}_{2(\text{g})}$ ) atmosphere. A range of sintering temperatures, of 1400, 1500, 1600 and 1700 °C, were used to control the microstructure (grain size). All surfaces were ground using SiC paper, polished to 1  $\mu\text{m}$  using diamond suspension and thermally etched at ~85–90% sintering temperature to ensure an equal surface finish for all materials and to reveal the grain structure for characterisation.






**Fig. 9** Surface characterisation of 554 ppm Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  surface dissolved at 60 °C in bicarbonate solution for 226 days. SEM image of plate-like precipitate and corresponding EDX point spectrum representative of Points 1, 2 and 3.

#### Cr-doped and undoped $\text{UO}_2$ characterisation

Confirmation of the  $\text{UO}_2$  single phase was carried out via X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a Bruker D2 Phaser diffractometer utilising a Cu K $\alpha$  source from 10° to 100° 2 $\theta$  with a step size of 0.02° and a step time of 2 s. Geometric densities of sintered pellets were calculated using the average geometry, measured using calibrated digital callipers, and average mass, measured using a calibrated five-point balance, to determine the geometric specific surface area of each pellet. Archimedes density was also determined for each individual pellet prior to dissolution, presented as an average of 10 measurements. The microstructures of the Cr-doped and undoped  $\text{UO}_2$  were characterised by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a Hitachi TM3030 SEM operating with an accelerating voltage of 15 kV. Images were taken at 500x magnification across five points of each pellet and the average grain area of ~500 grains was measured using ImageJ.

The total Cr content within the sintered materials was assessed by a complete digest in concentrated nitric acid (2 M  $\text{HNO}_3$ ). Pellets were crushed using a pestle and mortar and ~20 mg of powder was completely dissolved, under temperature and stirring, in 5 mL  $\text{HNO}_3$ . The solutions were then measured for Cr concentration by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-MS, Thermo Fisher single quadrupole iCAP RQ). Grain size and density were quantified as a function of both Cr content and sintering temperature.



**Fig. 10** The normalised dissolution rate ( $R_L(\text{U})$ ) of undoped and Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  as a function of grain size. Data were derived from experiments performed at 25 °C in a bicarbonate solution for 100 days. **a** Showing the relationship between sintering temperature, average grain size and  $R_L(\text{U})$  and **b** The relationship between Cr content, average grain size and  $R_L(\text{U})$ . Error bars represent one standard deviation of triplicate measurements.

#### Oxic dissolution experiments

Long-term durability experiments were conducted in the PLEIADES National Nuclear User Facility, using thermally etched, sintered pellets in duplicate, which were submerged in 50 mL of simulant groundwater solution (19 mM NaCl + 1 mM  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  bicarbonate solution) in a PTFE container. No atmospheric control was applied throughout the experiment, which is important to note since the dissolution medium was in equilibrium with  $\text{CO}_2$  in the air. The pH was measured to be in the range of 7.8 to 8.2 ( $\pm 0.2$  pH units) for all experiments at all times.

To understand the role of Cr content on dissolution behaviour, experiments were performed in ovens at 25, 40 and 60 °C ( $\pm 2$  °C) on  $\text{UO}_2$  with increasing Cr content, sintered at 1700 °C. To establish the role of grain size on Cr-doped  $\text{UO}_2$  dissolution, further experiments were performed at 25 °C on a selection of Cr-concentrations sintered at 1400, 1500, 1600 and 1700 °C. Both experiments are discussed and compared to assess the influence of Cr content, temperature and microstructure on dissolution behaviour.

At specific time points, an aliquot of 2 mL of the dissolution medium was removed, filtered (0.22  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and diluted by a factor of 10 in 1% ultra-pure conc.  $\text{HNO}_3$  for analysis by ICP-MS. A fresh solution of the same volume was replaced to maintain the surface area to volume (SA/V) ratio of approximately  $1.3 \text{ m}^{-1}$ . Aliquots of solution were taken on days 1, 3, 7, 14, 21, then weekly up to day 98 and then bi-weekly for the duration of each experiment, which varied depending on dissolution temperature. It should be noted that Covid-19-induced laboratory closures severely restricted the sampling points for several experiments, over a period of ~6 months. Each long-term experiment was terminated after a

**Table 3.** Qualitative EDX point spectrum analysis of U precipitate phases.

SEM image reference	Sample	Morphology	U (at.% ± 0.1)	O (at.% ± 0.1)	Na (at.% ± 0.1)
Fig. 8a Point 1	554 ppm Cr	Plate	20.7	64.3	15.0
Fig. 8a Point 2	554 ppm Cr	Plate	18.8	63.7	17.5
Fig. 8a Point 3	554 ppm Cr	Plate	17.3	67.4	15.3
Fig. 8a Point 4*	554 ppm Cr	Plate	18.3	62.5	19.2
Fig. 8a Point 5*	554 ppm Cr	Plate	18.4	65.6	18.1
Fig. 8a Point 6*	554 ppm Cr	Plate	18.0	65.7	16.3
Fig. 8a Point 7*	554 ppm Cr	Plate	17.3	67.4	15.3
Fig. 8a Point 8*	554 ppm Cr	Plate	17.4	65.6	17.0
Fig. 8a Point 9*	554 ppm Cr	Plate	15.2	67.0	17.8
Fig. 8a Point 10*	554 ppm Cr	Plate	16.7	68.0	15.3
Average (at% ± 0.1)			17.8	65.7	16.7
Ratio U/Na			1.07		

Due to EDX probe depth, measurements were taken only on precipitates deemed to be thicker than 1 µm.

\*not labelled in Fig. 8a.

constant concentration of U was measured, within error, for four consecutive time points.

The concentration of U ( $C(U)$ , ng L<sup>-1</sup>) was converted to the mass of the element in solution for each time point ( $m(U)(t)$ , mg) using the volume of each aliquot ( $V$ , 2 mL) via Eq. 3. The concentration of Cr was below limits of detection (2.5 ng L<sup>-1</sup> for KED and 28 ng L<sup>-1</sup> for STD analysis modes) for the duration of all experiments and, therefore, could not be measured.

$$m(U)(t) = C(U) \times V \quad (3)$$

$$m_{\text{remaining}}(U)(t) = m_{\text{initial}} - \left[ \frac{m_{\text{loss}}(U)(t)}{f(U)} \right] \quad (4)$$

$$N_L(U) = \frac{m_{\text{loss}}(U)(t)}{f(U) \times S_{SA} \times m(U)(t-1)} \quad (5)$$

The cumulative mass loss over time ( $m_{\text{loss}}(U)(t)$ , mg) of each pellet, and the initial pellet mass ( $m_{\text{initial}}$ , mg) was used to determine the percentage of mass remaining for each pellet via Eq. 4, where  $f(U)$  is the mass fraction of U in each pellet. The normalised mass loss  $N_L(U)$  (g m<sup>-2</sup>) was calculated from Eq. 5 using the specific geometric surface area ( $S_{SA}$ , m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) of each pellet, while the normalised rate of U dissolution ( $R_L(U)$ , g m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>) was determined via the gradient of  $N_L(U)$  as a function of time.

The dependence of the dissolution rate on temperature was assessed by determination of the activation energy ( $E_a$ ) according to the Arrhenius law, Eq. 6, where  $R_L(U)$  was taken in the initial regime of dissolution ( $R_{L,i}$ , Table 1) as well as when the effects of solution saturation were observed in the steady state of U dissolution ( $R_{L,ss}$ , Table 1). The gradient of  $\ln(R_L(U))$ , for the intended regime, over the reciprocal absolute temperature was taken to determine the  $E_a$  (kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for undoped and Cr-doped UO<sub>2</sub>, as a function of Cr content.

$$R_L(U) = e^{-E_a/RT} \quad (6)$$

### Secondary phase characterisation

The presence of secondary phases, formed during dissolution, was determined via SEM/EDX using a Hitachi TM3030 SEM coupled with Bruker Quantax EDX system. XRD of the pellet surface, post-dissolution, was performed as described above, between 5° and 100° 2θ with a step size of 0.02° and a step time of 2 s. To improve diffraction pattern analysis, the precipitates were gently removed

from the surfaces of pellets and analysed. XRD patterns were indexed using PDF SIEV + software. Geochemical modelling using PHREEQC-3 and the LLNL database was used to identify potential species that reached saturation limits in the dissolution.

### DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Received: 28 November 2022; Accepted: 20 March 2023;  
Published online: 07 April 2023

### REFERENCES

1. Badley, M. & Shoesmith, D. W. *The Corrosion/Dissolution of Used Nuclear Fuel in a Deep Geologic Repository*. Report No. NWMO-TR-2022-09 (Nuclear Waste Management Organisation (NWMO), Toronto, Canada, 2022).
2. Oversby, V. M. *Uranium Dioxide, SIMFUEL and Spent Fuel Dissolution Rates – A Review of Published Data*. Report No. TR-99-22 (Svensk Karnbränslehantering AB (SKB), Stockholm, Sweden, 1999).
3. Shoesmith, D. W. Fuel corrosion processes under waste disposal conditions. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **282**, 1–31 (2000).
4. Bruno, J. & Ewing, R. C. Spent nuclear fuel. *Elements* **2**, 343–349 (2006).
5. Guillet, J. L. & Guerin, Y. *Nuclear Fuels*. Report No. ISBN 978-2-281-11345-7 (Commissariat à l'énergie atomique (CEA), Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France, 2009).
6. Massih, A. R. *An Evaluation of High-Temperature Creep of Zirconium Alloys: Data versus Models*. Swedish Radiation Safety Authority Report. Report No. 2014:20 ISSN 2000-0456 (Strålsäkerhetsmyndigheten (SSM), Stockholm (Sweden), 2014).
7. Assmann, H., Dörr, W. & Peehs, M. Control of UO<sub>2</sub> microstructure by oxidative sintering. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **140**, 1–6 (1986).
8. He, H., Qin, Z. & Shoesmith, D. W. Characterising the relationship between hyperstoichiometry, defect structure and local corrosion kinetics of uranium dioxide. *Electrochim. Acta* **56**, 53–60 (2010).
9. Smith, H. et al. Cr<sup>2+</sup> solid solution in UO<sub>2</sub> evidenced by advanced spectroscopy. *Comm. Chem.* **5**, 163 (2022).
10. Cachoir, C., Mennecart, T. & Lemmens, K. Evolution of the uranium concentration in dissolution experiments with Cr(Pu)-doped UO<sub>2</sub> in reducing conditions at SCK CEN. *MRS Adv.* **6**, 84–89 (2021).
11. Nilsson, K., Roth, O. & Jonsson, M. Oxidative dissolution of ADOPT compared to standard UO<sub>2</sub> fuel. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **488**, 123–128 (2017).
12. Casella, A., Hanson, B. & Miller, W. The effect of fuel chemistry on UO<sub>2</sub> dissolution. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **476**, 45–55 (2016).
13. Kim, J.-G. et al. Effect of a trivalent dopant, Gd<sup>3+</sup>, on the oxidation of uranium dioxide. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **297**, 327–331 (2001).

14. Liu, N. et al. Influence of Gd doping on the structure and electrochemical behaviour of  $\text{UO}_2$ . *Electrochim. Acta* **247**, 496–504 (2017).
15. Razdan, M. & Shoesmith, D. W. Influence of trivalent-dopants on the structural and electrochemical properties of uranium dioxide ( $\text{UO}_2$ ). *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **161**, H105–H113 (2014).
16. Liu, N., He, H., Noël, J. J. & Shoesmith, D. W. The electrochemical study of  $\text{Dy}_2\text{O}_3$  doped  $\text{UO}_2$  in slightly alkaline sodium carbonate/bicarbonate and phosphate solutions. *Electrochim. Acta* **235**, 654–663 (2017).
17. Corkhill, C. L. et al. Contribution of energetically reactive surface features to the dissolution of  $\text{CeO}_2$  and  $\text{ThO}_2$  analogues for spent nuclear fuel microstructures. *Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **6**, 12279–12289 (2014).
18. Corkhill, C. L. et al. Role of microstructure and surface defects on the dissolution kinetics of  $\text{CeO}_2$ , a  $\text{UO}_2$  fuel analogue. *Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **8**, 10562–19571 (2016).
19. Claparede, L. et al. Influence of crystallisation state and microstructure on the chemical durability of cerium-neodymium mixed oxides. *Inorg. Chem.* **50**, 9059–9072 (2011).
20. Horlait, D. et al. Environmental SEM monitoring of  $\text{Ce}_{1-x}\text{Ln}_x\text{O}_{2-x/2}$  mixed-oxide microstructural evolution during dissolution. *J. Mater. Chem. A* **2**, 5193–5203 (2014).
21. Szenknect, S. et al. Kinetics of structural and microstructural changes at the solid/solution interface during dissolution of cerium(IV)-neodymium(III) oxides. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **116**, 12027–12037 (2012).
22. Myllykylä, E. et al. Solution composition and particle size effects on the dissolution and solubility of a  $\text{ThO}_2$  microstructural analogue for  $\text{UO}_2$  matrix of nuclear fuel. *Radiochim. Acta* **103**, 565–576 (2015).
23. Cordara, T. et al. Microstructural evolution of  $\text{UO}_2$  pellets containing metallic particles of Ru, Rh and Pd during dissolution in nitric acid solution: 3D-ESEM monitoring. *Hydrometallurgy* **188**, 182–193 (2019).
24. Claparede, L. et al. Dissolution of  $\text{Th}_{1-x}\text{U}_x\text{O}_2$ : effects of chemical composition and microstructure. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **457**, 304–316 (2015).
25. Kashibe, S. & Une, K. Effect of additives ( $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ,  $\text{SiO}_2$ ,  $\text{MgO}$ ) on diffusional release of  $^{133}\text{Xe}$  from  $\text{UO}_2$  fuels. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **254**, 234–242 (1998).
26. Bourgeois, L., Dehaut, P., Lemaignan, C. & Hammou, A. Factors governing microstructure development of  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ -doped  $\text{UO}_2$  during sintering. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **297**, 313–326 (2001).
27. Milena-Pérez, A. et al. Raman spectroscopy coupled to principle component analysis for studying  $\text{UO}_2$  nuclear fuels with different grain sizes due to the chromia addition. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **543**, 152581 (2021).
28. Cardinaels, T. et al. Chromia doped  $\text{UO}_2$  fuel: investigation of the lattice parameter. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **424**, 252–260 (2012).
29. Kuri, G. et al. Local atomic structure of chromium bearing precipitates in chromia doped uranium dioxide investigated by combined micro-beam X-ray diffraction and absorption spectroscopy. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **449**, 158–167 (2014).
30. Riglet-Martial, C. et al. Thermodynamics of chromium in  $\text{UO}_2$  fuel: a solubility model. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **447**, 63–72 (2014).
31. Finkeldei, S. C. et al. *Synthesis and Characterization of  $\text{UO}_2$  Feedstocks Containing Controlled Dopants*. Report No. M3FT-19OR02021075 (Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge Tennessee (USA), 2019).
32. Peres, V. et al. High temperature chromium volatilisation from  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$  powder and  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ -doped pellets in reducing atmospheres. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **423**, 93–101 (2012).
33. Ollila, K. & Ahonen, L. *Solubilities of Uranium for TILA-99* Report No. 98-13 Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB, Stockholm (Sweden), (1998).
34. Cordara, T. et al. Kinetics of dissolution of  $\text{UO}_2$  in nitric acid solutions: a multi-parametric study of the non-catalysed reaction. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **496**, 251–264 (2017).
35. Bertolotto, S. et al. Effect of surface orientation on dissolution rate and surface dynamics of  $\text{UO}_2$  single crystals in nitric acid. *Corros. Sci.* **176**, 109020 (2020).
36. Podor, R. L. et al. 3D-SEM height map series to monitor materials corrosion and dissolution. *Mater. Charact.* **150**, 220–228 (2019).
37. Ollila, K. *Dissolution of Unirradiated  $\text{UO}_2$  Fuel in Synthetic Groundwater – Progress Report '97*. Report No. 98-06 (Svensk Kärnbränslehantering AB, Stockholm (Sweden), 1998).
38. De Pablo, J. et al. The oxidative dissolution mechanism of uranium dioxide. I. The effect of temperature in hydrogen carbonate medium. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **63**, 3097–3103 (1999).
39. Desgranges, G. et al. Neutron diffraction study of the in situ oxidation of  $\text{UO}_2$ . *Inorg. Chem.* **48**, 7585–7592 (2009).
40. Chernorukov, N. G., Nipruk, O. V. & Kostrova, E. L. Synthesis and study of sodium uranate  $\text{Na}_2\text{U}_2\text{O}_7 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and of products of its dehydration and thermal decomposition. *Radiochemistry* **58**, 124–127 (2016).
41. Finch, R. J., Cooper, M. A., Hawthorne, F. C. & Ewing, R. C. Distinguishing among schoepite,  $[(\text{UO}_2)_8\text{O}_2(\text{OH})_{12}](\text{H}_2\text{O})_{12}$ , and related minerals by X-ray powder diffraction. *Can. Mineral.* **34**, 1071–1088 (1996).
42. Hyatt, N. C. et al. The HADES facility for high activity decommissioning engineering and science: part of the UK National Nuclear user facility. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mat. Sci.* **818**, 012022 (2020).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by the European Commission Horizon 2020 Research and Training Programme, DISCO, of the European Atomic Energy Community (EURATOM), under grant agreement number 755443. We also wish to acknowledge funding from the UK Engineering and Physical Science Research Council (EPSRC) under grant numbers EP/N017374/1 and EP/S020659/1. This research utilised the HADES/MIDAS facility at the University of Sheffield, established with financial support from EPSRC and BEIS, under grant EP/T011424/1, and also the PLEIADES National Nuclear User Facility, established with funding from EPSRC under grant number EP/V035215/1, and the Henry Royce Institute for Advanced Materials Nuclear ICP-MS facility, under grant numbers EP/P02470X/1 and EP/P025285/1. We would like to thank Dr. Chris Dixon Wilkins at the University of Sheffield for support with XRD pattern indexing, Dr. Colleen Mann for support with ICP-MS analysis and all the members of the DISCO project for continued support and enjoyable, lively discussion.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

H.S.—data collection, formal analysis and original draft preparation. T.C.—sample preparation and experimental setup. C.G.—data collection. S.E.P.—data collection. C.L.C.—funding acquisition, supervision, data collection, formal analysis, reviewing and editing.

## COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing interests.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41529-023-00347-4>.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Claire L. Corkhill.

**Reprints and permission information** is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2023